# Canada

Canada has met all aspects of the terms of reference (OECD,  $2017_{[3]}$ ) (ToR) for the calendar year 2019 (year in review) and no recommendations are made.

Canada can legally issue four types of rulings within the scope of the transparency framework.

In practice, Canada issued rulings within the scope of the transparency framework as follows:

- 12 past rulings;
- For the period 1 April 2016 31 December 2016: two future rulings;
- For the calendar year 2017: two future rulings,
- For the calendar year 2018: one future ruling, and
- For the year in review: one future ruling.

Peer input was received from one jurisdiction in respect of the exchanges of information on rulings received from Canada. The input was positive, noting that information was complete, in a correct format and received in a timely manner.

# A. The information gathering process

199. Canada can legally issue four types of rulings within the scope of the transparency framework: (i) preferential regimes;<sup>1</sup> (ii) cross-border unilateral APAs and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering transfer pricing or the application of transfer pricing principles; (iii) permanent establishment rulings; and (iv) related party conduit rulings.

200. For Canada, past rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued either: (i) on or after 1 January 2014 but before 1 April 2016; or (ii) on or after 1 January 2010 but before 1 January 2014, provided they were still in effect as at 1 January 2014. Future rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued on or after 1 April 2016.

201. In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that Canada's undertakings to identify past and future rulings and all potential exchange jurisdictions were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. In addition, it was determined that Canada's review and supervision mechanism was sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Canada's implementation remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

202. Canada has met all of the ToR for the information gathering process and no recommendations are made.

## **B.** The exchange of information

203. In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that Canada's process for the completion and exchange of templates were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. With respect to past rulings, no further action was required. Canada's implementation in this regard remains unchanged and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

204. Canada has international agreements permitting spontaneous exchange of information, including being a party to the (i) *Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol* (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011<sub>[4]</sub>) ("the Convention") and (ii) bilateral agreements in force with 95 jurisdictions permitting spontaneous exchange of information.<sup>2</sup>

205. For the year in review, the timeliness of exchanges is as follows:

Future rulings in	Number of exchanges	Delayed exchanges		
the scope of the transparency framework	transmitted within three months of the information becoming available to the competent authority or immediately after legal impediments have been lifted	Number of exchanges transmitted later than three months of the information on rulings becoming available to the competent authority	Reasons for the delays	Any other comments
	3	0	N/A	N/A

Follow up requests received for exchange of the ruling	Number	Average time to provide response	Number of requests not answered
	0	N/A	N/A

206. Canada has the necessary legal basis for spontaneous exchange of information, a process for completing the templates in a timely way and has completed all exchanges. Canada has met all of the ToR for the exchange of information process and no recommendations are made.

# C. Statistics (ToR IV)

Category of ruling	Number of exchanges	Jurisdictions exchanged with	
Ruling related to a preferential regime	0	N/A N/A	
Cross-border unilateral advance pricing agreements (APAs) and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering transfer pricing or the application of transfer pricing principles	<i>De minimis</i> rule applies		
Permanent establishment rulings	0	N/A	
Related party conduit rulings	0	N/A	
De minimis rule	3	N/A	
Total	3		

207. The statistics for the year in review are as follows:

# D. Matters related to intellectual property regimes (ToR I.4.1.3)

208. Canada does not offer an intellectual property regime for which transparency requirements under the Action 5 Report (OECD, 2015<sub>[1]</sub>) were imposed.

### Summary of recommendations on implementation of the transparency framework

Aspect of implementation of the transparency framework that should be improved	Recommendation for improvement
	No recommendations are made.

### References

- OECD (2017), BEPS Action 5 on Harmful Tax Practices Terms of Reference and Methodology for the Conduct of the Peer Reviews of the Action 5 Transparency Framework, OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>http://www.oecd.org/tax/beps/beps-action-5-harmful-tax-practices-peer-review-transparency-framework.pdf</u>.
   OECD (2015), Countering Harmful Tax Practices More Effectively, Taking into Account [1]
- OECD (2015), Countering Harmful Tax Practices More Effectively, Taking into Account Transparency and Substance, Action 5 - 2015 Final Report, OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting Project, OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264241190-en</u>.
- OECD/Council of Europe (2011), *The Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol*, OECD Publishing, Paris,
  <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264115606-en">https://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264115606-en</a>.

#### **Notes**

<sup>1</sup> With respect to the following preferential regimes: 1) Life insurance business and 2) International shipping.

<sup>2</sup> Parties to the Convention are available here: www.oecd.org/tax/exchange-of-tax-information/conventionon-mutual-administrative-assistance-in-tax-matters.htm. Canada also has bilateral agreements in force with Algeria, Argentina, Armenia, Australia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Brazil, Bulgaria, Cameroon, Chile, China (People's Republic of), Colombia, Cote d'Ivoire, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, Estonia, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Greece, Guyana, Hong Kong (China), Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Korea, Kuwait, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Malta, Mexico, Moldova, Mongolia, Morocco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Senegal, Serbia, Singapore, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sweden, Switzerland, Chinese Taipei, Tanzania, Thailand, Trinidad & Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, United States, Uzbekistan, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Zambia and Zimbabwe. The Tax Information Exchange Agreement with Aruba also permits spontaneous exchange of information.



From: Harmful Tax Practices – 2019 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings

Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/afd1bf8c-en

#### Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2020), "Canada", in Harmful Tax Practices - 2019 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/f7607c13-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions.

