# Malta

# A. Progress in the implementation of the minimum standard

Malta has 78 tax agreements in force, as reported in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire. Fiftyone of those agreements comply with the minimum standard.

Malta signed the MLI in 2017 and deposited its instrument of ratification on 18 December 2018. The MLI entered into force for Malta on 1 April 2019. The agreements modified by the MLI come into compliance with the minimum standard once the provisions of the MLI take effect. Malta has not listed its agreements with Botswana, Bulgaria and Monaco under the MLI. These agreements will therefore not, at this stage, be modified under the MLI.

Malta has indicated in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire that steps have been taken (other than under the MLI) to implement the minimum standard in the agreements with Botswana, Bulgaria, Georgia, Moldova\*, Monaco, Montenegro and the United States.

Malta is implementing the minimum standard through the inclusion of the preamble statement and the PPT  $^{104}$ 

#### **B.** Conclusion

No jurisdiction has raised any concerns about their agreements with Malta.

# Summary of the jurisdiction response - Malta

|    | 1.Treaty partners            | 2. Compliance with the standard | 3. Signature of a complying instrument | 4. Minimum standard provision used |
|----|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 1  | Albania                      | Yes MLI                         |  | PPT                                |
| 2  | Andorra                      | Yes MLI                         |  | PPT                                |
| 3  | Armenia                      | Yes other                       |  | PPT                                |
| 4  | Australia                    | Yes MLI                         |  | PPT                                |
| 5  | Austria                      | Yes MLI                         |  | PPT                                |
| 6  | Azerbaijan*                  | No                              | No                                     |                                    |
| 7  | Bahrain                      | No                              | Yes MLI                                | PPT                                |
| 8  | Barbados                     | Yes MLI                         |  | PPT                                |
| 9  | Belgium                      | Yes MLI                         |  | PPT                                |
| 10 | Botswana                     | No                              | No                                     |                                    |
| 11 | Bulgaria                     | No                              | No                                     |                                    |
| 12 | Canada                       | Yes MLI                         |  | PPT                                |
| 13 | China (People's Republic of) | No                              | Yes MLI                                | PPT                                |
| 14 | Croatia                      | Yes MLI                         |  | PPT                                |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> Malta chose to replace, under Article 35(3) of the MLI, the reference to "taxable periods beginning on or after the expiration of a period" with a reference to "taxable periods beginning on or after 1 January of the next calendar year beginning on or after the expiration of a period" for the purposes of its own application of Article 35(1)(b) and (5)(b) (Entry into effect) of the MLI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> For its agreements listed under the MLI, Malta is implementing the preamble statement (Article 6 of the MLI) and the PPT (Article 7 of the MLI).

| 15 | Czech Republic     | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
|----|--------------------|-------------|------------|-----|
| 16 | Cyprus*            | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 17 | Denmark            | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 18 | Egypt              | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 19 | Estonia            | No          | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 20 | Finland            | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 21 | France             | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 22 | Georgia            | No          | No         | PPT |
| 23 | Germany            | No          | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 24 | Greece             | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 25 | Guernsey           | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 26 | Hong Kong (China)  | No          | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 27 | Hungary            | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 28 | Iceland            | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 29 | India              | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 30 | Ireland            | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 31 | Isle of Man        | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 32 | Israel             | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 33 | Italy              | No          | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 34 | Jersey             | Yes MLI     | 100 ME     | PPT |
| 35 | Jordan             | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 36 | Korea              | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 37 | Kosovo*            | Yes other   |            | PPT |
| 38 | Kuwait*            | No          | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 39 | Latvia             | Yes MLI     | I 63 MILI  | PPT |
| 40 | Lebanon*           | No No       | No         | 111 |
| 41 | Libya*             | No          | No         |     |
| 42 | Liechtenstein      | Yes MLI     | 140        | PPT |
| 43 | Lithuania          | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 44 | Luxembourg         | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 45 | Malaysia           | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 46 | Mauritius          | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 47 | Mexico             | No No       | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 48 | Moldova*           | No          | No         | FFI |
| 49 | Monaco             | No          | No         |     |
| 50 | Montenegro         | No          | No         |     |
| 51 | Morocco            | No          | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 52 | Netherlands        | Yes MLI     | I ES IVILI | PPT |
| 53 | Norway             | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 54 | Pakistan           | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 55 | Poland             | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 56 | Portugal           | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 57 | Qatar              | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 58 | Romania            | No No       | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 59 | Russian Federation | Yes MLI     | I GO IVILI | PPT |
| 60 | San Marino         | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 61 | Saudi Arabia       | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 62 | Saudi Arabia       | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 63 | Singapore          | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 64 | Slovak Republic    | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 65 | Slovenia           | Yes MLI     |            | PPT |
| 66 | South Africa       | No Yes Mili | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 67 | Spain              | No          | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 68 | Sweden             | No          | Yes MLI    | PPT |
| 00 | Sweden             | INU         | T ES IVILI | FFI |

# 200 |

| 69 | Switzerland          | Yes other |         | PPT |
|----|----------------------|-----------|---------|-----|
| 70 | Syria*               | No        | No      |     |
| 71 | Tunisia              | No        | Yes MLI | PPT |
| 72 | Türkiye              | No        | Yes MLI | PPT |
| 73 | Ukraine              | Yes MLI   |         | PPT |
| 74 | United Arab Emirates | Yes MLI   |         | PPT |
| 75 | United Kingdom       | Yes MLI   |         | PPT |
| 76 | United States        | No        | No      |     |
| 77 | Uruguay              | Yes MLI   |         | PPT |
| 78 | Viet Nam             | No        | Yes MLI | PPT |



#### From:

# Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Fifth Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping

Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6

#### Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/9afac47c-en

### Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2023), "Malta", in *Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Fifth Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1787/f71c1f40-en">https://doi.org/10.1787/f71c1f40-en</a>

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions.

