Colombia

Colombia has met all aspects of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017_[3]) (ToR) for the calendar year 2018 (year in review) and no recommendations are made.

In the prior year report, Colombia had received one recommendation, to ensure that all information on past rulings is exchanged as soon as possible (ToR II.5.6). This recommendation has been addressed and is removed.

Colombia can legally issue one type of ruling within the scope of the transparency framework. In practice, Colombia issued rulings within the scope of the transparency framework as follows:

- One past ruling;
- For the period 1 April 2016 31 December 2016: no future rulings;
- For the calendar year 2017: no future rulings, and
- For the year in review: no future rulings.

As no exchanges were required to take place, no peer input was received in respect of the exchanges of information on rulings received from Colombia.

Introduction

This peer review covers Colombia's implementation of the BEPS Action 5 transparency framework for the year 2018. The report has four parts, each relating to a key part of the ToR. Each part is discussed in turn. A summary of recommendations is included at the end of this report.

A. The information gathering process

Colombia can legally issue one type of rulings within the scope of the transparency framework: cross-border unilateral advance pricing agreements (APAs) and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering transfer pricing or the application of transfer pricing principles.

Past rulings (ToR I.4.1.1, I.4.1.2, I.4.2.1, I.4.2.2)

For Colombia, past rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued either: (i) on or after 1 January 2014 but before 1 April 2016; or (ii) on or after 1 January 2010 but before 1 January 2014, provided they were still in effect as at 1 January 2016.

In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that Colombia's undertakings to identify past rulings and all potential exchange jurisdictions were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Colombia's implementation in this regard remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

Future rulings (ToR I.4.1.1, I.4.1.2, I.4.2.1)

For Colombia, future rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued on or after 1 April 2016.

In the prior year peer review report, it was determined that Colombia's implementation of a new system to identify future rulings and all potential exchange jurisdictions was sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Colombia's implementation in this regard remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

Review and supervision (ToR I.4.3)

In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that Colombia's review and supervision mechanism was sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Colombia's implementation in this regard remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

Conclusion on section A

Colombia has met all of the ToR for the information gathering process and no recommendations are made.

B. The exchange of information

Legal basis for spontaneous exchange of information (ToR II.5.1, II.5.2)

Colombia has the necessary domestic legal basis to exchange information spontaneously. Colombia notes that there are no legal or practical impediments that prevent the spontaneous exchange of information on rulings as contemplated in the Action 5 minimum standard.

Colombia has international agreements permitting spontaneous exchange of information, including being a party to the (i) *Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by*

the 2010 Protocol (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011[4]) ("the Convention"), (ii) double tax agreements in force with 9 jurisdictions, (iii) multilateral tax agreements in force with three jurisdictions and (iv) tax information exchange agreements with one jurisdictions.¹

Completion and exchange of templates (ToR II.5.3, II.5.4, II.5.5, II.5.6, II.5.7)

In the prior year peer review report, it was determined that Colombia's process for the completion and exchange of templates met all the ToR, except for completing exchanges of information on past rulings in accordance with the timelines (ToR II.5.6). Therefore, Colombia was recommended to ensure that all information on past rulings is exchanged as soon as possible.

During the year in review, Colombia exchanged information on past rulings with the relevant Competent Authorities. Therefore, the ToR is met and recommendation is removed.

For the year in review, the timeliness of exchanges is as follows:

Past rulings in the scope of the transparency framework	Number of exchanges transmitted by 31 December 2018	Delayed exchanges		
		Number of exchanges not transmitted by 31 December 2018	Reasons for the delays	Any other comments
	2	0	N/A	N/A
Future rulings in the scope of the transparency framework	Number of exchanges	Delayed exchanges		
	transmitted within three months of the information becoming available to the competent authority or immediately after legal impediments have been lifted	Number of exchanges transmitted later than three months of the information on rulings becoming available to the competent authority	Reasons for the delays	Any other comments
	0	0	N/A	N/A
Total	2	0	'	

Follow up requests received for exchange of the ruling	Number	Average time to provide response	Number of requests not answered
	0	N/A	N/A

Conclusion on section B

Colombia has the necessary legal basis for spontaneous exchange of information, a process for completing the templates in a timely way and has completed all exchanges. Colombia has met all of the ToR for the exchange of information process and no recommendations are made.

C. Statistics (ToR IV)

The statistics for the year in review are as follows:

Category of ruling	Number of exchanges	Jurisdictions exchanged with
Ruling related to a preferential regime	N/A	N/A
Cross-border unilateral advance pricing agreements (APAs) and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering transfer pricing or the application of	De minimis rule applies	N/A

102

transfer pricing principles		
Cross-border rulings providing for a unilateral downward adjustment to the taxpayer's taxable profits that is not directly reflected in the taxpayer's financial / commercial accounts	N/A	N/A
Permanent establishment rulings	N/A	N/A
Related party conduit rulings	N/A	N/A
De minimis rule	2	N/A
IP regimes: total exchanges on taxpayers benefitting from the third category of IP assets, new entrants benefitting from grandfathered IP regimes; and taxpayers making use of the option to treat the nexus ratio as a rebuttable presumption	N/A	N/A
Total	2	

D. Matters related to intellectual property regimes (ToR I.4.1.3)

Colombia does not offer an intellectual property regime for which transparency requirements under the Action 5 Report (OECD, 2015_[5]) were imposed.

Summary of recommendations on implementation of the transparency framework

Aspect of implementation of the transparency framework that should be improved	Recommendation for improvement
	No recommendations are made.

Notes

¹ Parties to the Convention are available here: www.oecd.org/tax/exchange-of-tax-information/convention-on-mutual-administrative-assistance-in-tax-matters.htm. Colombia also has bilateral agreements in force with Canada, Chile, Czech Republic, India, Korea, Mexico, Portugal, Spain and Switzerland; multilateral tax agreements in force with Bolivia, Ecuador and Peru; and a tax information exchange agreement with the United States.



From:

Harmful Tax Practices – 2018 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5

Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/7cc5b1a2-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2020), "Colombia", in *Harmful Tax Practices – 2018 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/ee108241-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions.

