

Lithuania

A. Progress in the implementation of the minimum standard

Lithuania has 58 tax agreements in force as reported in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire. Thirty-seven of those agreements comply with the minimum standard.

Lithuania signed the MLI in 2017 and deposited its instrument of ratification on 11 September 2018. The MLI entered into force for the Lithuania on 1 January 2019. The agreements modified by the MLI come into compliance with the minimum standard once the provisions of the MLI take effect.

Lithuania indicated in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire that steps have been taken (other than under the MLI) to implement the minimum standard in its agreement with Germany.

Lithuania is implementing the minimum standard through the inclusion of the preamble statement and the PPT.⁹⁹

B. Conclusion


No jurisdiction has raised any concerns about their agreements with Lithuania.

Summary of the jurisdiction response - Lithuania

	1. Treaty partners	2. Compliance with the standard	3. Signature of a complying instrument	4. Minimum standard provision used
1	Armenia	No	Yes MLI	PPT
2	Austria	Yes MLI		PPT
3	Azerbaijan*	No	No	PPT
4	Belarus	No	No	PPT
5	Belgium	Yes MLI		PPT
6	Bulgaria	No	Yes MLI	PPT
7	Canada	Yes MLI		PPT
8	China (People's Republic of)	No	Yes MLI	PPT
9	Croatia	Yes MLI		PPT
10	Cyprus*	Yes MLI		PPT
11	Czech Republic	Yes MLI		PPT
12	Denmark	Yes MLI		PPT
13	Estonia	No	Yes MLI	PPT
14	Finland	Yes MLI		PPT
15	France	Yes MLI		PPT
16	Georgia	Yes MLI		PPT
17	Germany	No	No	PPT
18	Greece	Yes MLI		PPT
19	Hungary	Yes MLI		PPT
20	Iceland	Yes MLI		PPT
21	India	Yes MLI		PPT

⁹⁹ For its agreements listed under the MLI, Lithuania is implementing the preamble statement (Article 6 of the MLI) and the PPT (Article 7 of the MLI).

22	Ireland	Yes MLI		PPT
23	Israel	Yes MLI		PPT
24	Italy	No	Yes MLI	PPT
25	Japan	Yes other		PPT+LOB
26	Kazakhstan	Yes MLI		PPT
27	Korea	Yes MLI		PPT
28	Kosovo*	Yes other		PPT
29	Kuwait*	No	Yes MLI	PPT
30	Kyrgyzstan*	No	No	PPT
31	Latvia	Yes MLI		PPT
32	Liechtenstein	Yes other		PPT
33	Luxembourg	Yes MLI		PPT
34	Malta	Yes MLI		PPT
35	Mexico	No	Yes MLI	PPT
36	Moldova*	No	No	PPT
37	Morocco	No	Yes MLI	PPT
38	Netherlands	Yes MLI		PPT
39	North Macedonia	No	Yes MLI	PPT
40	Norway	Yes MLI		PPT
41	Poland	Yes MLI		PPT
42	Portugal	Yes MLI		PPT
43	Romania	No	Yes MLI	PPT
44	Russian Federation	Yes MLI		PPT
45	Serbia	Yes MLI		PPT
46	Singapore	Yes MLI		PPT
47	Slovak Republic	Yes MLI		PPT
48	Slovenia	Yes MLI		PPT
49	Spain	No	Yes MLI	PPT
50	Sweden	No	Yes MLI	PPT
51	Switzerland	Yes MLI		PPT
52	Türkiye	No	Yes MLI	PPT
53	Turkmenistan*	No	No	PPT
54	Ukraine	Yes MLI		PPT
55	United Arab Emirates	Yes MLI		PPT
56	United Kingdom	Yes MLI		PPT
57	United States	No	No	
58	Uzbekistan*	No	No	PPT



From:

Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Fifth Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping

Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6

Access the complete publication at:

<https://doi.org/10.1787/9afac47c-en>

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2023), “Lithuania”, in *Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Fifth Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/ddea134e-en>

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions>.