

# Faroe Islands

## A. Progress in the implementation of the minimum standard

The Faroe Islands has five tax agreements in force as reported in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire, including the multilateral Nordic Convention concluded with Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden (the Nordic Convention).<sup>62</sup> Three of those agreements, including the Nordic Convention, comply with the minimum standard.

The Faroe Islands has not joined the MLI.

The Faroe Islands indicated in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire that steps have been taken (other than under the MLI) to implement the minimum standard in its agreements with Switzerland.

The Faroe Islands is implementing the minimum standard through the inclusion of the preamble statement and the PPT.

## B. Conclusion

The Faroe Islands has developed a plan for the implementation of the minimum standard in its agreement with the United Kingdom. The Faroe Islands indicated in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire that bilateral negotiations would be pursued with respect to that agreement.


## Summary of the jurisdiction response – Faroe Islands

	1. Treaty partners	2. Compliance with the standard	3. Signature of a complying instrument	4. Minimum standard provision used
1	Nordic Convention treaty partners (Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Sweden)	Yes other		PPT
2	Switzerland	No	No	

## Other agreements

	1. Treaty partners	2. Inclusive Framework member
1	United Kingdom	Yes

<sup>62</sup> See the Multilateral convention concluded by Denmark, Finland, the Faroe Islands, Iceland, Norway and Sweden: for the avoidance of double taxation with respect to taxes on income and on capital (1996, 1997, 2008 and 2018).



**From:**

## **Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Fifth Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping**

### **Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6**

**Access the complete publication at:**

<https://doi.org/10.1787/9afac47c-en>

#### **Please cite this chapter as:**

OECD (2023), “Faroe Islands”, in *Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Fifth Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/d575b646-en>

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions>.