# Malta

Malta has met all aspects of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017<sub>[3]</sub>) (ToR) for the calendar year 2018 (year in review) and no recommendations are made.

In the prior year review report, Malta did not receive any recommendations.

Malta can legally issue four types of rulings within the scope of the transparency framework. In practice, Malta issued rulings within the scope of the transparency framework as follows:

- Seven past rulings;
- For the period 1 April 2017 31 December 2017: four future rulings, and
- For the year in review: seven future rulings.

No peer input was received in respect of the exchanges of information on rulings received from Malta.

#### Introduction

This peer review covers Malta's implementation of the BEPS Action 5 transparency framework for the year 2018. The report has four parts, each relating to a key part of the ToR. Each part is discussed in turn. A summary of recommendations is included at the end of this report.

# A. The information gathering process

Malta can legally issue the following four types of rulings within the scope of the transparency framework: (i) cross-border unilateral APAs and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering transfer pricing or the application of transfer pricing principles; (ii) rulings providing for unilateral downward adjustments; (iii) permanent establishment rulings; and (iv) related party conduit rulings.

## Past rulings (ToR I.4.1.1, I.4.1.2, I.4.2.1, I.4.2.2)

For Malta, past rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued either (i) on or after 1 January 2015 but before 1 April 2017; and (ii) on or after 1 January 2012 but before 1 January 2015, provided they were still in effect as at 1 January 2015.

In the prior year peer review report, it was determined that Malta's undertakings to identify past rulings and all potential exchange jurisdictions were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Malta's implementation in this regard remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

## Future rulings (ToR I.4.1.1, I.4.1.2, I.4.2.1)

For Malta, future rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued on or after 1 April 2017.

In the prior year peer review report, it was determined that Malta's implementation of a new system to identify future rulings and all potential exchange jurisdictions was sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Malta's implementation in this regard remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

## Review and supervision (ToR I.4.3)

In the prior year peer review report, it was determined that Malta's review and supervision mechanism was sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Malta's implementation in this regard remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

#### Conclusion on section A

Malta has met all of the ToR for the information gathering process and no recommendations are made.

# B. The exchange of information

## Legal basis for spontaneous exchange of information (ToR II.5.1, II.5.2)

Malta has the necessary domestic legal basis to exchange information spontaneously. Malta notes that there are no legal or practical impediments that prevent the spontaneous exchange of information on rulings as contemplated in the Action 5 minimum standard.

Malta is a party to international agreements permitting spontaneous exchange of information, including (i) the *Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol* (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011) ("the Convention") and (ii) double tax agreements in force with 75 jurisdictions.<sup>1</sup>

## Completion and exchange of templates (ToR II.5.3, II.5.4, II.5.5, II.5.6, II.5.7)

In the prior year peer review report, it was determined that Malta's process for the completion and exchange of templates were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Malta's implementation in this regard remains unchanged and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

For the year in review, the timeliness of exchanges is as follows:

Past rulings in the scope of the transparency framework	Number of exchanges transmitted by 31 December 2018	Delayed exchanges		
		Number of exchanges not transmitted by 31 December 2018	Reasons for the delays	Any other comments
	4	0	See below.	N/A
Future rulings in the scope of the transparency framework  Number of exchanges transmitted within three months of the information becoming available to the competent authority or immediately after legal impediments have been lifted  10	Number of exchanges	Delayed exchanges		
	Number of exchanges transmitted later than three months of the information on rulings becoming available to the competent authority	Reasons for the delays	Any other comments	
	10	0	N/A	N/A
Total	14	0		

Follow up requests received for exchange of	Number	Average time to provide response	Number of requests not answered
the ruling	0	N/A	N/A

As noted in the previous year peer review report, there was a delay in exchanging the information on four past rulings. Malta experienced some technical issues in the implementation of the EU Directive for exchange of information on rulings within the EU and as a result, only exchanged information on rulings with EU jurisdictions. Steps were taken to exchange all outstanding information on rulings for the relevant period with the non-EU jurisdictions by April 2018.

## Conclusion on section B

Malta has the necessary legal basis for spontaneous exchange of information, a process for completing the templates in a timely way and has completed all exchanges. Malta has met all of the ToR for the exchange of information process and no recommendations are made.

## C. Statistics (ToR IV)

The statistics for the year in review are as follows:

Category of ruling	Number of exchanges	Jurisdictions exchanged with
Ruling related to a preferential regime	N/A	N/A
Cross-border unilateral advance pricing agreements (APAs) and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering	0	N/A

transfer pricing or the application of transfer pricing principles		
Cross-border rulings providing for a unilateral downward adjustment to the taxpayer's taxable profits that is not directly reflected in the taxpayer's financial / commercial accounts	0	N/A
Permanent establishment rulings	4	De minimis rule applies
Related party conduit rulings	10	Belgium, Germany, Jersey, Netherlands, Panama, Singapore, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States
De minimis rule	N/A	N/A
IP regimes: total exchanges on taxpayers benefitting from the third category of IP assets, new entrants benefitting from grandfathered IP regimes; and taxpayers making use of the option to treat the nexus ratio as a rebuttable presumption	0	N/A
Total	14	

# D. Matters related to intellectual property regimes (ToR I.4.1.3)

Malta introduced a new IP regime which will come into effect by the end of 2019. Although this is after the year in review, it is noted that this regime is not subject to transparency requirements under the Action 5 Report (OECD, 2015<sub>[5]</sub>) for the year in review, because:

- **New entrants benefitting from the grandfathered IP regime**: as this is a new IP regime rather than a grandfathered IP regime, transparency on new entrants is not relevant.
- **Third category of IP assets**: the regime allows the third category of IP assets to qualify for the benefits. The transparency requirements on this will be reviewed during next year's peer review.
- Taxpayers making use of the option to treat the nexus ratio as a rebuttable presumption: not applicable as the regime does not allow the nexus ratio to be treated as a rebuttable presumption.

# Summary of recommendations on implementation of the transparency framework

Aspect of implementation of the transparency framework that should be improved	Recommendation for improvement
	No recommendations are made.

## **Notes**

Parties to the Convention are available here: <a href="http://www.oecd.org/tax/exchange-of-tax-information/convention-on-mutual-administrative-assistance-in-tax-matters.htm">http://www.oecd.org/tax/exchange-of-tax-information/convention-on-mutual-administrative-assistance-in-tax-matters.htm</a>. Malta also has bilateral agreements in force with Albania, Andorra, Australia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, Barbados, Belgium, Botswana, Bulgaria, Canada, China (People's Republic of), Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Egypt, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Greece, Guernsey, Hungary, Iceland, India, Ireland, Isle of Man, Israel, Italy, Jersey, Jordan, Korea, Kuwait, Latvia, Lebanon, Libya, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Moldova, Monaco, Montenegro, Morocco, Netherlands, Norway, Pakistan, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Russia, San Marino, Saudi Arabia, Serbia,

Singapore, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Syria, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay and Viet Nam.

Note by Turkey: The information in this document with reference to "Cyprus" relates to the southern part of the Island. There is no single authority representing both Turkish and Greek Cypriot people on the Island. Turkey recognises the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus (TRNC). Until a lasting and equitable solution is found within the context of the United Nations, Turkey shall preserve its position concerning the "Cyprus issue".

Note by all the European Union Member States of the OECD and the European Union. The Republic of Cyprus is recognised by all members of the United Nations with the exception of Turkey. The information in this document relates to the area under the effective control of the Government of the Republic of Cyprus.



#### From:

Harmful Tax Practices – 2018 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5

# Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/7cc5b1a2-en

# Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2020), "Malta", in *Harmful Tax Practices – 2018 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1787/d009496e-en">https://doi.org/10.1787/d009496e-en</a>

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions.

