

# Chile

## A. Progress in the implementation of the minimum standard

Chile has 33 tax agreements in force, as reported in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire. Five of those agreements, the agreements with Argentina, China, Italy, Japan and Uruguay, comply with the minimum standard.

Chile signed the MLI in 2017, listing 33 tax agreements.<sup>28</sup>

Chile is implementing the minimum standard through the inclusion of the preamble statement and the PPT combined with the LOB. For its compliant agreements with Italy and Japan, the minimum standard is implemented through the inclusion of the preamble statement and the PPT.<sup>29</sup> Chile notes that all of its agreements that do not contain a PPT provision include a main purpose test in the dividends, interest and royalties articles.

The agreements that will be modified by the MLI will come into compliance with the minimum standard once the provisions of the MLI take effect.


## B. Implementation issues

No jurisdiction has raised any concerns about their agreements with Chile.

## Summary of the jurisdiction response – Chile

	Treaty partners	Compliance with the standard	If compliant, the alternative implemented	Signature of a complying instrument	The alternative implemented through the complying instrument (if not the MLI)	Comments
1	Argentina	Yes	PPT and LOB	N/A	N/A	
2	Australia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
3	Austria	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
4	Belgium	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
5	Brazil	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
6	Canada	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
7	China (People's Republic of)	Yes	PPT and LOB	N/A	N/A	
8	Colombia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
9	Croatia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
10	Czech Republic	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
11	Denmark	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
12	Ecuador*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
13	France	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
14	Ireland	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
15	Italy	Yes	PPT alone	N/A	N/A	
16	Japan	Yes	PPT alone	N/A	N/A	
17	Korea	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
18	Malaysia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	

19	Mexico	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
20	New Zealand	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
21	Norway	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
22	Paraguay	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
23	Peru	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
24	Poland	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
25	Portugal	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
26	Russia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
27	South Africa	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
28	Spain	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
29	Sweden	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
30	Switzerland	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
31	Thailand	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
32	United Kingdom	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
33	Uruguay	Yes	PPT and LOB	N/A	N/A	



From:

## Prevention of Treaty Abuse – Second Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping

### Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6

Access the complete publication at:

<https://doi.org/10.1787/d656738d-en>

#### Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2020), “Chile”, in *Prevention of Treaty Abuse – Second Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/b54e2328-en>

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions>.