## PERU

## **Recent trends**

Peru has made progress in a range of development indicators in the past decades. Between 2005-16, the share of the population living on less than USD 5.5 a day (2011 PPP) was halved. It dropped from 52.2% to 24.3% in line with the 24% average in Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC). At the same time, the share of the vulnerable population living on USD 5.5-13 a day (2011 PPP) increased from 31.9% to 39.6%. The country's infant mortality rate sharply decreased from 57 to 12 per 1 000 live births between 1990 and 2016.

Peru's gross domestic product (GDP) per capita increased by almost two-and-a-half times between 1990 and 2017, although labour productivity in terms of GDP per person employed remains only 26.6% of the OECD average. Total factor productivity growth was negative, at -0.5% between 2000-17. Additionally, Peru does not perform well in secure employment and confidence in institutions. The country had the highest share of people in vulnerable employment (49.7% of the employed). Only 34% of the population believe in honesty in elections and 87% think corruption is widespread.

## National strategies and international co-operation for development

The "Plan Bicentenario: El Perú hacia el 2021" [Bicentennial Plan: Peru towards 2021] has a strong focus on human rights and their universal validity. The plan is built upon six objectives: fundamental rights and people's dignity, opportunities and access to services, state and governability, economy, competitiveness and employment, regional development and infrastructure, and natural resources and environment. Special attention is given to Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 16 (peace, justice and strong institutions) and SDG 8 (decent work and economic growth) (ECLAC, 2018).

The plan supports a macroeconomic policy in favour of public and private investments in activities able to generate quality employment, with decentralisation and respect for the environment as complementary objectives. It proposes incentives for investment in logistic infrastructure at local and regional levels, including hydraulic infrastructure, and irrigation and sewer systems; co-ordination mechanisms between the public and the private sector in order to define strategic areas of development and instruments to improve the competitiveness of the productive sector; reduction of urban informality; and sustainable exploitation of the country's natural resources.

At the centre of the plan are the upholding of fundamental rights and consolidation of democratic institutions. These include policies such as the reform of the judicial system to ensure transparency in all its processes, as well as the improvement and strengthening of social programmes to reduce poverty. Together with the objective of "State and governability", it promotes efficiency of the public administration with a focus on restoring the credibility of public institutions.

The second objective of the plan focuses on achievements in universal access to quality public services that Peru aims to guarantee by 2021. These include policies for achieving total coverage of primary education, decentralising health services, ensuring universal availability of drinking water and sanitation, strengthening citizen security, and reducing infant and maternal mortality.

In terms of public financing capacities, Peru's total tax revenues were 16.1% of GDP in 2016 (vs. 22.7% in LAC and 34.3% in the OECD). The country has implemented mandatory e-invoicing for selected taxpayers since 2014. In 2017, Peru also ratified the Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters.

In the last decades, Peru has taken on a dual role of recipient and donor of international co-operation, sharing its expertise in countries of equal or less development through modalities such as South-South Co-operation and Triangular Co-operation. The Peruvian Agency for International Co-operation (APCI in Spanish) is in charge of implementing, programming and organising International Technical Cooperation (ITC) coming from public or private external sources following national development policies. In 2016, Peru's main bilateral co-operation partners in terms of financial volumes were Germany, the United States, the European Union, Switzerland and Spain. In the same year, the country's main Triangular Co-operation partners in terms of number of projects were Germany, Spain and the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO). Peru's main South-South Co-operation partners in terms of number of projects were Mexico, Brazil and Argentina.

Among recent international co-operation projects, one stands out: the Programme for the Sustainable Economic Development and Strategic Management of Natural Resources (PRODERN in Spanish) in the regions of Ayacucho, Apurímac, Huancavelica, Junín and Pasco. A bilateral co-operation project supported by Belgium, the initiative aims to reduce poverty while sustainably using the regions' natural resources and biological diversity. In terms of South-South and Triangular Co-operation, the project between Guatemala, Peru and Germany for the improvement of local tax management in Guatemala between 2012 and 2013 can be singled out.

	Key Indicators						
Income and productivity	Peru		LAC [1]		0ECD [2]		
	2007	2017	2007	2017	2007	2017	
DP per capita, PPP (constant 2011 international USD) [3]	8 6 4 9	12 237	12 603	12 970	38 972	39 58	
bour productivity relative to OECD (%) [4]	20.8	26.6	38.3	36.8	100	100	
ouseholds and NPISHs final consumption expenditure per capita onstant 2010 USD) [3]	2 629	3 944	4 305	5 491	22 098	20 44	
Annonia Complexity Index [5]	2006	2016	2006	<b>2016</b>	<b>2006</b>	2016	
Economic Complexity Index [5] Average annual change in total factor productivity, 2000-17 (%) [6]	-0.7	-0.8	-0.3	-0.3	1.1	1.1	
	Peru -0.5		-0.7		0ECD 0.1		
	-0.5		-0.7		0.1		
ocial vulnerabilities	Peru		LAC		OECD		
	2007	2016	2007	2016	2007	2016	
are of people living in poverty, less than USD 5.50 a day (2011 PPP) (%) [7]	41.3	24.3	34.9	24.0	NA	NA	
nare of people living in vulnerability, USD 5.50-13.00 a day (2011 PPP) (%) [7]	35.0	39.6	35.5	36.5	NA	NA	
fe expectancy at birth (years) [3]	73.0	75.0	73.7	75.6	78.7	80.1	
ean years of schooling (population at 25 and older) [8]	8.4	9.2	7.4	8.6	11.0	11.8	
et enrolment rate, secondary level (%) [9]	75.5	79.6	66.6	74.4	78.7	90.3	
	2007	2017	2007	2017	2007	2017	
nare of population that did not have enough money for food in past 12 months (%) [10]	45.0	54.0	34.8	44.3	12.0	13.0	
ni index [3]	50.4	43.8	50.8	46.2	32.7	36.5	
nare of workers in vulnerable employment (% of total employment) [11]	51.3	49.7	32.6	31.0	12.8	12.6	
fant mortality rate (per 1 000 live births) [3]	18.5	11.6	19.4	14.7	7.9	5.7	
Tant mortanty rate (per 1 000 live birtins) [5]							
	2007	2015	2007	2015	2007	2015	
Maternal mortality ratio (deaths per 100 000 live births) [3]	95.0	68.0	87.1	74.4	19.0	14.0	
	2009	2015	2009	2015	2009	2015	
ean PISA score in science performance [12]	369	397	406	412	501	493	
		2018		2018		2018	
Social Institutions and Gender Index (SIGI) (%) [12]		24.5		24.6		17.3	
nvironment	Peru		LAC		OECD		
Change in forest area, 2000-15 (%) [3]	-2	-2.9		-1.2		0.8	
	2005	2016	2005	2016	2005	2016	
$M_{25}$ air pollution, mean annual exposure (micrograms per cubic metre) [3]		26.1	24.7	20.3	15.1	14.9	
E.0	41.0					2014	
		2014	2007	2014	2007		
), emissions (kiloorams per PPP USD of GDP) [3]	2007	2014	<b>2007</b> 0.25				
$D_2$ emissions (kilograms per PPP USD of GDP) [3]	<b>2007</b> 0.19	<b>2014</b> 0.16	0.25	0.23	0.32	0.24	
	2007 0.19 2007	2014 0.16 2017	0.25 <b>2007</b>	0.23 <b>2017</b>	0.32 2007	0.24 <b>2017</b>	
nare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10]	<b>2007</b> 0.19 <b>2007</b> 60.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0	0.25 <b>2007</b> 74.0	0.23 <b>2017</b> 73.2	0.32 <b>2007</b> 74.0	0.24 <b>2017</b> 79.0	
O <sub>2</sub> emissions (kilograms per PPP USD of GDP) [3] hare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0	0.24 <b>2017</b> 79.0 84.0	
hare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0	
hare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] estitutions and perceptions about public services	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 eru 2016	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 L <i>I</i> 2007	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 AC 2016	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 CD 2016	
nare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] nare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 eru 2016 16.1	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 2007 20.8	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 AC 2016 22.7	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 CD 2016 34.3	
nare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] nare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services otal tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 eru 2016 16.1 2017	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 L/ 2007 20.8 2006	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 4C 2016 22.7 2017	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 CD 2016 34.3 2017	
nare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] nare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services tal tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 970 2016 16.1 2017 54.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 L/ 2007 20.8 2006 68.1	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 2016 22.7 2017 64.0	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 CD 2016 34.3 2017 68.0	
are of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] iare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services tal tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12] iare of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0 2007	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 970 2016 16.1 2017 54.0 2017	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 L/ 2007 20.8 2006 68.1 2007	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 2016 22.7 2017 64.0 2017	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0 2007	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 2016 34.3 2017 68.0 2017	
are of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] are of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services tal tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12] are of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10] are of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0 2007 33.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 970 2016 16.1 2017 54.0 2017 34.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 L/ 2007 20.8 2006 68.1 2007 36.9	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 AC 2016 22.7 2017 64.0 2017 34.9	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0 2007 53.0	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 2016 34.3 2017 68.0 2017 68.0	
are of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] are of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services tal tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12] are of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10] are of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] are of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0 2007 33.0 87.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 970 2016 16.1 2017 54.0 2017 34.0 87.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 L/ 2007 20.8 2006 68.1 2007 36.9 72.9	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 AC 2016 22.7 2017 64.0 2017 34.9 74.5	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0 2007 53.0 60.0	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 2016 34.3 2017 68.0 2017 60.0 54.0	
hare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services tal tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12] hare of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10] hare of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] hare of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0 2007 33.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 970 2016 16.1 2017 54.0 2017 34.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 L/ 2007 20.8 2006 68.1 2007 36.9	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 AC 2016 22.7 2017 64.0 2017 34.9	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0 2007 53.0	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 2016 34.3 2017 68.0 2017 60.0 54.0	
hare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services tal tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12] hare of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10] hare of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] hare of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] hare of population with confidence in national government (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0 2007 33.0 87.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 970 2016 16.1 2017 54.0 2017 34.0 87.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 L/ 2007 20.8 2006 68.1 2007 36.9 72.9	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 AC 2016 22.7 2017 64.0 2017 34.9 74.5	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0 2007 53.0 60.0	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 2016 34.3 2017 68.0 2017 60.0 54.0 45.0	
hare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services hat tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12] hare of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10] hare of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] hare of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] hare of population with confidence in national government (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with roads (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0 2007 33.0 87.0 22.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 970 2016 16.1 2017 54.0 2017 34.0 87.0 25.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 <b>2007</b> 20.8 2006 68.1 2007 36.9 72.9 40.9	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 2016 22.7 2017 64.0 2017 34.9 74.5 36.1	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0 2007 53.0 60.0 41.0	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 2016 34.3 2017 68.0 2017 68.0 2017 60.0 54.0 45.0 66.0	
hare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] stitutions and perceptions about public services btal tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12] hare of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10] hare of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] hare of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] hare of population with confidence in national government (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with roads (%) [10] hare of urban population satisfied with the availability of quality healthcare (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0 2007 33.0 87.0 22.0 49.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 700 2016 16.1 2017 54.0 2017 34.0 87.0 25.0 41.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 2007 20.8 2006 68.1 2007 36.9 72.9 40.9 54.4	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 2016 22.7 2017 64.0 2017 34.9 74.5 36.1 53.4	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0 2007 53.0 60.0 41.0 61.0	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 2016 34.3 2017 68.0 2017 68.0 2017 60.0 54.0 45.0 66.0 69.0	
hare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10] estitutions and perceptions about public services botal tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12] hare of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10] hare of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] hare of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] hare of population with confidence in national government (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with roads (%) [10] hare of urban population satisfied with the availability of quality healthcare (%) [10] hare of population satisfied with standard of living (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0 2007 33.0 87.0 22.0 49.0 41.0 53.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 700 2016 16.1 2017 54.0 2017 34.0 87.0 25.0 41.0 38.0 70.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 2007 2008 2006 68.1 2007 36.9 72.9 40.9 54.4 55.5 68.6	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 2016 22.7 2017 64.0 2017 34.9 74.5 36.1 53.4 49.9 69.3	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0 2007 53.0 60.0 41.0 61.0 69.0 73.0	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 2016 34.3 2017 68.0 2017 68.0 2017 60.0 54.0 45.0 66.0 69.0 77.0	
hare of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10]	2007 0.19 2007 60.0 65.0 Pe 2007 18.4 2006 44.0 2007 33.0 87.0 22.0 49.0 41.0	2014 0.16 2017 62.0 63.0 970 2016 16.1 2017 54.0 2017 34.0 87.0 25.0 41.0 38.0	0.25 2007 74.0 75.0 2007 20.8 2006 68.1 2007 36.9 72.9 40.9 54.4 55.5	0.23 2017 73.2 70.8 2016 22.7 2017 64.0 2017 34.9 74.5 36.1 53.4 49.9	0.32 2007 74.0 78.0 0E 2007 33.7 2006 64.0 2007 53.0 60.0 41.0 61.0 69.0	0.24 2017 79.0 84.0 CD 2016 34.3	

Sources, footnotes and technical details can be found at the end of the country notes.

LATIN AMERICAN ECONOMIC OUTLOOK 2019 © OECD/UNITED NATIONS/CAF/EU 2019



From: Latin American Economic Outlook 2019 Development in Transition

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/g2g9ff18-en

## Please cite this chapter as:

OECD, et al. (2019), "Peru", in Latin American Economic Outlook 2019: Development in Transition, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/a917af01-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

