Chapter 2. Policy framework and assessment process

The ASEAN SME Policy Index framework aims to provide an independent and rigorous assessment of the policy environment for SMEs and to benchmark this assessment against the goals and actions identified in the ASEAN Strategic Action Plan for SME Development (SAP SMED) 2016-2025, as well as OECD good practice. It aims to provide guidance for policy reform and development on the basis of these findings.

While other indices and benchmarking exercises assess the business environment in Southeast Asia, the SME Policy Index adds value by going beyond the statistics to examine the policy environment for SMEs across a broad range of areas. In addition:

- It focuses on the ASEAN region as it embarks upon further economic integration, enabling policy makers to identify challenges that may lie ahead.
- It evaluates the SME policy environment comprehensively around the objectives of the SAP SMED 2016-2025, allowing for an assessment of progress.
- It takes a participatory approach to evaluation and measurement through its involvement of policy makers, the private sector and partner organisations.
- It provides guidance on how to improve the SME policy framework in each country through good practice examples and policy recommendations.
- It incorporates relevant data by other organisations, such as the World Bank's Doing Business report and Enterprise Surveys, as well as additional ERIA and OECD data, such as ERIA's foreign investment liberalisation index scores and the OECD's trade facilitation index scores.
- It uses the country context and broader factors affecting SME development to complement the analysis that emerges from the scores.

However, the SME Policy Index methodology also has limitations:

- The inputs and outputs of government policy can be hard to capture, particularly in countries where SME policies are mainly implemented by local government.
- A lack of SME data in many Southeast Asian countries, as well as substantially diverse SME definitions, limit the comparability of data across economies.
- The indicator weights are defined based on expert opinion, and therefore can be open to challenge.
- Certain indicators may have been given special prominence due to their importance to ASEAN member states and the SAP SMED 2016-2025, rather than necessarily on the basis of expert opinion.
- The assessment covers a hugely diverse group of countries that differ substantially in terms of income, geography, population, resource endowment and

institutional capacity. The application of a single set of indicators and weights can therefore mask certain country-specific characteristics in the scoring. Attempts were made to address this constraint, such as graduated scoring and the exclusion of certain countries from indicators that were not applicable (for more information, see Annex A).

The 2018 assessment framework

The 2018 ASEAN SME Policy Index assessment framework maps and benchmarks SME policies across eight policy areas ("dimensions"), which are broken down into 25 components ("sub-dimensions"). These components were developed in reference to the SAP SMED 2016-2025 as well as the more generic SME Policy Index framework developed by the OECD. A repeated application of the framework, at least over the duration of the SAP SMED 2016-2025, should provide a dynamic picture of SME policy reforms and priorities over time.

2018 ASPI dimensions and sub-dimensions	ASEAN SAP SMED strategic goals and desired outcomes
1. Productivity, technology and innovation	A. Productivity, technology and innovation
1.1 Productivity measures	A.1 Productivity will be enhanced
1.3 Productive agglomerations and clusters enhancement	A.2 Industry clusters will be enhanced
1.2 Business development services	A.3 Innovation will be promoted as a key competitive advantage
1.4 Technology and innovation promotion	
2. Environmental policies and SMEs	A. Productivity, technology and innovation
2.1 Environmental policies targeting SMEs	A.3 Innovation will be promoted as a key competitive advantage
2.2 Incentives and instruments for greening SME operations	
3. Access to finance	B. Increase access to finance
3.1 Legal, regulatory and institutional framework on access to finance	B.1 Institutional framework for access to finance will be developed and enhanced
3.2 Diversified sources of enterprise finance	B.2 Financial inclusion (and literacy) will be promoted, and the ability of MSMEs to engage in the financial system will be enhanced
3.2 Diversified sources of enterprise finance (microfinance component)	
4. Access to market and internationalisation	C. Enhance market access and internationalisation
4.1 Export promotion	C.1 Support schemes for market access and integration into the global supply chain will be further developed C.2 Export capacity will be promoted
4.2 Integration to global value chains	
4.3 Use of e-commerce	
4.4 Quality standards	
4.5 Trade facilitation	
5. Institutional framework	D. Enhance policy framework and regulatory environment
5.1 SME definition	D.1 Inter and intragovernmental co-operation in terms of policy and regulation will be enhanced
5.2 Strategic planning, policy design and co-ordination	
5.3 Measures to tackle the informal economy	D.3 Obtaining of permits and business registration will be streamlined
6. Legislation, regulation and tax	D. Enhance policy framework and regulatory environment
6.1 Public-private consultations	D.2 MSMEs' interests will be promoted and involvement in the decision-making processes will be enhanced
6.2 Legislative simplification and regulatory impact analysis	D.3 Obtaining of permits and business registration will be streamlined
6.3 Company registration	
6.4 Ease of filing tax	
6.5 E-government	

Table 2.1. The 2018 ASEAN SME Policy Index assessment framework

2018 ASPI dimensions and sub-dimensions	ASEAN SAP SMED strategic goals and desired outcomes
7. Entrepreneurial education and skills	E. Promote entrepreneurship and human capital development
7.1 Promotion of entrepreneurial education	E.1 Entrepreneurial education and learning programmes will
7.2 Entrepreneurial skills	be instituted
8. Social enterprises and inclusive SMEs	E. Promote entrepreneurship and human capital development
8.1 Social enterprises	E.2 Human capital development for MSMEs will be
8.2 Inclusive SMEs	enhanced, especially for women and youth

Source: ASEC (2016) adjusted by OECD and ERIA experts.

The 2018 ASPI assessment framework differs significantly from the pilot framework used in 2014. While the pilot also featured eight dimensions, it touched upon different policy areas, some of which have become sub-dimensions in the new assessment. It also covered fewer and different indicators, and this limits the comparability of the two assessments.

A detailed description of the assessment framework, including changes from the 2014 version, is presented in Annex A.

The assessment process

The SME Policy Index is based on the results of two parallel assessments. A selfassessment is conducted by governments, led by a predesignated "national co-ordinator" (a government official assigned to lead the policy assessment). This self-assessment is informed by inputs collected from the various agencies and ministries involved in SME policy development and implementation. In addition, an independent assessment is conducted by the OECD and ERIA based on inputs from a team of local experts who collect data and information and conduct interviews with key stakeholders and private sector representatives, led by a predesignated "national consultant". In the 2018 exercise, a number of national consultants collaborated with national co-ordinators on information gathering due to the difficulty of obtaining data in some countries. Preliminary scores were developed on the basis of these two assessments, enhanced by further desk research, missions and consultations with government representatives conducted by the OECD and ERIA. A series of stakeholder meetings were subsequently held in each economy to discuss and compare the two parallel assessments and to help reduce bias and misjudgements. These meetings were typically attended by 30-50 SME policy stakeholders, including representatives of ministries and government agencies, international donors, civil society, the academic community, NGOs and the private sector. At these meetings, discrepancies between the parallel assessments were discussed, information gaps filled and draft scores presented. Based on the information gathered, the OECD and ERIA determined the final scores for each country and presented them to the national co-ordinators and consultants at a regional meeting held at the end of the assessment process.

A detailed description of how the scoring was conducted, including innovations since the 2014 assessment, is presented in Annex A.

Timing of the 2018 assessment

The 2018 ASPI assessment was carried out between 1 July 2016 and 31 October 2017 in three phases:

32 2. POLICY FRAMEWORK AND ASSESSMENT PROCESS

- **Design phase** (July-December 2016). The methodology and assessment framework were prepared in consultation with the ASEAN Co-ordinating Committee on Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (ACCMSME), drawing reference from the OECD SME Policy Index methodology and the 2016-2025 SAP SMED. National co-ordinators and national consultants for each country were identified.
- Data collection and evaluation phase (January-October 2017). ASEAN countries carried out a self-evaluation of their policy frameworks (through an assessment questionnaire and other materials). This was complemented by an independent assessment carried out by the OECD and ERIA with the support of local experts. Stocktaking missions and workshops were held in all ten countries to support the data collection exercise. Desk research and follow-up with relevant stakeholders were used to fill information gaps and resolve inconsistencies. Finally, a workshop was conducted in Jakarta in October 2017, followed by a presentation of the initial results at the fourth ACCMSME meeting, held in Manila in October 2017.
- Consolidation and publication phase (November 2017-July 2018). Additional data were gathered, the draft report was prepared and the report was reviewed by participating countries, the ACCMSME and the ASEAN Secretariat. The draft report was presented at the fifth ACCMSME meeting, held in Luang Prabang in April 2018. Following the meeting, final comments were integrated into the report. The completed publication was presented at the Senior Economic Officials Meeting in July 2018 and formally launched at the ASEAN Economic Ministers' Meeting in August 2018. A series of training workshops and national dissemination events were due to be conducted after the launch.

The 2016-2025 ASEAN Strategic Action Plan for SME Development

The ASEAN Strategic Action Plan for SME Development 2016-2025 was developed in the context of the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC), which was established in 2015. The commitment to co-operate on SME development falls under the AEC Blueprint's third pillar, "equitable economic development". It represents ASEAN's recognition that SMEs may require additional support to take full advantage of the trade and investment opportunities that are expected to come from further economic integration, as well as to increase their competitiveness. This is regarded as key to narrowing the development gap across and within ASEAN member states.

Built around five strategic goals and twelve desired outcomes, SAPSMED 2016-2025 proposes a set of time-bound actions and action lines with key performance indicators (KPIs). Its main objectives are to: i) promote productivity, technology and innovation; ii) increase access to finance; iii) enhance market access and internationalisation; iv) enhance the policy and regulatory environment; and v) promote entrepreneurship and human capital development. It was launched at the ASEAN Business and Investment Summit in November 2015.



From: SME Policy Index: ASEAN 2018 Boosting Competitiveness and Inclusive Growth

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264305328-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD/Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (2018), "Policy framework and assessment process", in *SME Policy Index: ASEAN 2018: Boosting Competitiveness and Inclusive Growth*, OECD Publishing, Paris/Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia, Jakarta.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264305328-6-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

