

Russian Federation

A. Progress in the implementation of the minimum standard

The Russian Federation has 85 tax agreements in force, as reported in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire. Two of those agreements, the agreements with Japan and Sweden, comply with the minimum standard.

The Russian Federation signed the MLI in 2017 and deposited its instrument of ratification on 18 June 2019, listing 70 tax agreements.¹²⁷ The MLI entered into force for Russia on 1 October 2019.

The Russian Federation is implementing the minimum standard through the inclusion of the preamble statement and the PPT combined with the LOB.¹²⁸

The agreements that will be modified by the MLI will come into compliance with the minimum standard once the provisions of the MLI take effect.

The Russian Federation indicated in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire that bilateral negotiations would be used for its agreements with Brazil, Germany and Switzerland.

B. Implementation issues

No jurisdiction has raised any concerns about their agreements with the Russian Federation.

Summary of the jurisdiction response – Russian Federation

	Treaty partners	Compliance with the standard	If compliant, the alternative implemented	Signature of a complying instrument	The alternative implemented through the complying instrument (if not the MLI)	Comments
1	Albania*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
2	Algeria*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
3	Argentina	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
4	Armenia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
5	Australia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
6	Austria	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
7	Azerbaijan*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
8	Belarus*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
9	Belgium	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
10	Botswana	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
11	Brazil	No	N/A	No	N/A	
12	Bulgaria	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
13	Canada	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
14	Chile	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
15	China (People's Republic of)	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
16	Croatia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
17	Cuba*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
18	Cyprus*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
19	Czech Republic	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	

20	Democratic People's Republic of Korea*	No	N/A	No	N/A	
21	Denmark	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
22	Ecuador*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
23	Egypt	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
24	Finland	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
25	France	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
26	Germany	No	N/A	No	N/A	
27	Greece	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
28	Hong Kong (China)	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
29	Hungary	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
30	Iceland	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
31	India	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
32	Indonesia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
33	Iran*	No	N/A	No	N/A	
34	Ireland	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
35	Israel	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
36	Italy	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
37	Japan	Yes	PPT and LOB	N/A	N/A	
38	Kazakhstan	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
39	Korea	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
40	Kuwait*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
41	Kyrgyzstan*	No	N/A	No	N/A	
42	Latvia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
43	Lebanon*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
44	Lithuania	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
45	Luxembourg	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
46	North Macedonia	No	N/A	No	N/A	
47	Malaysia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
48	Mali*	No	N/A	No	N/A	
49	Malta	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
50	Mexico	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
51	Moldova*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
52	Mongolia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
53	Montenegro*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
54	Morocco	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
55	Namibia*	No	N/A	No	N/A	
56	Netherlands	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
57	New Zealand	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
58	Norway	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
59	Philippines*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
60	Poland	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
61	Portugal	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
62	Qatar	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
63	Romania	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
64	Saudi Arabia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
65	Serbia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
66	Singapore	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
67	Slovak Republic	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
68	Slovenia	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
69	South Africa	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
70	Spain	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
71	Sri Lanka	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
72	Sweden	Yes	PPT alone	N/A	N/A	

73	Switzerland	No	N/A	No	N/A	
74	Syrian Arab Republic*	No	N/A	No	N/A	
75	Tajikistan*	No	N/A	No	N/A	
76	Thailand	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
77	Turkey	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
78	Turkmenistan*	No	N/A	No	N/A	
79	Ukraine	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
80	United Arab Emirates	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
81	United Kingdom	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
82	United States	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
83	Uzbekistan*	No	N/A	No	N/A	
84	Venezuela*	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	
85	Viet Nam	No	N/A	Yes	N/A	



From:

Prevention of Treaty Abuse – Second Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping

Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6

Access the complete publication at:

<https://doi.org/10.1787/d656738d-en>

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2020), “Russian Federation”, in *Prevention of Treaty Abuse – Second Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/9650cdab-en>

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions>.