CHILE

Recent trends

Chile's record in improving development outcomes has been strong in the past decades. In particular, the share of the population living on less than USD 5.5 a day (2011 PPP) sharply decreased between 2003-15 from 29.8% to 10.1%. At the same time, the share of the population living on USD 5.5-13 a day (2011 PPP) decreased from 39.5% to 35.8%. Chile's health indicators are now in line with the OECD average, with life expectancy at 79.5 years, infant mortality rate at 6.3 per 1 000 live births and maternal mortality ratio at 22 per 100 000 live births.

Chile's gross domestic product (GDP) per capita increased by two-and-a-half times between 1990 and 2017. Only the Dominican Republic and Panama come close to matching this performance. Additionally, Chile's GDP performance has been among the most stable in the region. However, labour productivity, measured in terms of GDP per person employed, stands at only 57.8% of the OECD average. Furthermore, total factor productivity growth has remained negative over 2000-17, with an average of -1%. Chile still faces some challenges in terms of education, income inequality and confidence in institutions.

National strategies and international co-operation for development

Chile has developed the National Development Plan "Construyamos tiempos mejores para Chile" [Let's Build Better Times for Chile] 2018-22 to build national capacities and address remaining development challenges. The plan centres on four principles: freedom, justice, progress and solidarity. It prioritises Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 9 (industry, innovation and infrastructure) and SDG 16 (peace, justice and strong institutions) (ECLAC, 2018). The first objective focuses mainly on creating quality jobs, improving education as a pillar of economic growth and advancing the technological revolution. In this way, it will allow further progress in science, innovation and entrepreneurship to increase productivity. The plan also focuses on improving governance through promoting republican institutions, citizen security, justice and human rights, modernisation of the state, decentralisation and regionalisation of power, defence and external relations.

The Development Plan proposes protecting the vulnerable and the middle class, overcoming poverty, and promoting positive ageing and decent pensions, a healthy work-life balance, improved gender equality, and policies for migratory and indigenous people as its main line of work. Chile adopted a Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) in December 2014 to assess and address poverty, vulnerability and inequality. The MPI, based on the National Socio-economic Characterisation Survey (CASEN in Spanish), is updated periodically.

In terms of public financing capacities, Chile's total tax revenues were 20.4% of GDP in 2016 (vs. 22.7% in LAC and 34.3% in the OECD). The country is a pioneer in the practice of e-invoicing to improve tax revenues and fight evasion, which enables the country to collect resources for development. The country is also a signatory of the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement on Automatic Exchange of Financial Account Information to fight tax evasion and of the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement on Exchange of Country-by-Country Reports.

At the same time, international co-operation is also playing a role in Chile's development process through both Triangular and South-South development co-operation. This work is led by the Chilean Agency for International Co-operation and Development (AGCID in Spanish). The agency, known formerly as the Chilean International Co-operation Agency, was renamed in March 2018 to underpin its developmental focus. The three main objectives in AGCID's 2015-18 strategy include progress towards inclusive and sustainable development; strengthened partnerships for shared development to incorporate new actors; and the consolidation of the National System for International Co-operation and Development, as well as of the agency.

Chile is playing a double role as both recipient and donor of international co-operation. At the national level, following democratic consolidation in the 1990s, the country has been targeting structural gaps, such as climate change mitigation, environment and social development, mainly with support of the World Bank. Most recently, Chile has developed South-South Triangular Co-operation projects in partnership with Germany, El Salvador, Brazil, Spain, Japan, Sweden, Switzerland and the European Union. These projects, directed to other Latin American countries, mainly focus on agriculture, governance and social development. Additionally, South-South co-operation mostly takes place with Argentina and Mexico. Projects include energy efficiency co-operation between Salta (ARG) and Antofagosta (CHL), exchanges of technical advice on health services between Jujuy (ARG) and Antofagosta (CHL) and collaboration on waste management between Aysen (CHL) and Mexico, D.F. (MEX).

Income and productivity	d.		Key Indicators			
	Chile		LAC [1]		0ECD [2]	
	2007	2017	2007	2017	2007	2017
GDP per capita, PPP (constant 2011 international USD) [3]	18 573	22 767	12 603	12 970	38 972	39 586
Labour productivity relative to OECD (%) [4]	56.6	57.8	38.3	36.8	100	100
Households and NPISHs final consumption expenditure per capita (constant 2010 USD) [3]	6 762	9 302	4 305	5 491	22 098	20 441
	2006	2016	2006	2016	2006	2016
Economic Complexity Index [5]	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	1.1	1.1
	Chile		LAC		OECD	
Average annual change in total factor productivity, 2000-17 (%) [6]	-1.0		-0.7		0.1	
Social vulnerabilities	Chile		LAC		OECD	
Social vullet abilities	2007	2016	2007	2016	2007	2016
Share of people living in poverty, less than USD 5.50 a day (2011 PPP) (%) [7]	22.8	10.1	34.9	24.0	NA	NA
Share of people living in vulnerability, USD 5.50-13.00 a day (2011 PPP) (%) [7]	42.3	35.8	35.5	36.5	NA	NA
Life expectancy at birth (years) [3]	78.1	79.5	73.7	75.6	78.7	80.1
Mean years of schooling (population at 25 and older) [8]	9.9	10.3	7.4	8.6	11.0	11.8
Net enrolment rate, secondary level (%) [9]	89.9	87.1	66.6	74.4	78.7	90.3
	2007	2017	2007	2017	2007	2017
Share of population that did not have enough money for food in past 12 months (%) [10]	28.0	24.0	34.8	44.3	12.0	13.0
Gini index [3]	48.2	47.7	50.8	46.2	32.7	36.5
Share of workers in vulnerable employment (% of total employment) [11]	24.8	23.7	32.6	31.0	12.8	12.6
Infant mortality rate (per 1 000 live births) [3]	7.7	6.3	19.4	14.7	7.9	5.7
, , , ,	2007	2015	2007	2015	2007	2015
Maternal mortality ratio (deaths per 100 000 live births) [3]	29.0	22.0	87.1	74.4	19.0	14.0
	2009	2015	2009	2015	2009	2015
Mean PISA score in science performance [12]	447	447	406	412	501	493
mount 10/100010 in obtained portormande [12]		2018	100	2018	001	2018
Social Institutions and Gender Index (SIGI) (%) [12]		36.1		24.6		17.3
Environment	Chile		LAC		OECD	
Change in forest area, 2000-15 (%) [3]	12.0		-1.2		0.8	
······································	2005	2016	2005	2016	2005	2016
PM _{2.5} air pollution, mean annual exposure (micrograms per cubic metre) [3]	22.9	22.0	24.7	20.3	15.1	14.9
2.5	2007	2014	2007	2014	2007	2014
CO ₂ emissions (kilograms per PPP USD of GDP) [3]	0.26	0.20	0.25	0.23	0.32	0.24
7,11	2007	2017	2007	2017	2007	2017
Share of population satisfied with air quality (%) [10]	65.0	51.0	74.0	73.2	74.0	79.0
Share of population satisfied with water quality (%) [10]	82.0	73.0	75.0	70.8	78.0	84.0
Institutions and perceptions about public services	Chile		LAC		OECD	
	2007	2016	2007	2016	2007	2016
Total tax revenue as a share of GDP (%) [12]	22.7	20.4	20.8	22.7	33.7	34.3
	2006	2017	2006	2017	2006	2017
	040	49.0	68.1	65.0	64.0	68.0
Share of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10]	64.0	49.0				2017
Share of population satisfied with the educational system (%) [10]				2017	2007	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2007	2017	2007	2017 34.9	2007 53.0	
Share of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10]	2007 46.0	2017 30.0	2007 36.9	34.9	53.0	60.0
Share of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] Share of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10]	2007 46.0 60.0	2017 30.0 78.0	2007 36.9 72.9	34.9 74.5	53.0 60.0	60.0 54.0
Share of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] Share of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] Share of population with confidence in national government (%) [10]	2007 46.0 60.0 43.0	2017 30.0 78.0 27.0	2007 36.9 72.9 40.9	34.9 74.5 36.1	53.0 60.0 41.0	60.0 54.0 45.0
Share of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] Share of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] Share of population with confidence in national government (%) [10] Share of population satisfied with roads (%) [10]	2007 46.0 60.0 43.0 72.0	2017 30.0 78.0 27.0 62.0	2007 36.9 72.9 40.9 54.4	34.9 74.5 36.1 53.4	53.0 60.0 41.0 61.0	60.0 54.0 45.0 66.0
Share of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] Share of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] Share of population with confidence in national government (%) [10] Share of population satisfied with roads (%) [10] Share of urban population satisfied with the availability of quality healthcare (%) [10]	2007 46.0 60.0 43.0 72.0 43.0	2017 30.0 78.0 27.0 62.0 33.0	2007 36.9 72.9 40.9 54.4 55.5	34.9 74.5 36.1 53.4 49.9	53.0 60.0 41.0 61.0 69.0	60.0 54.0 45.0 66.0 69.0
Share of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] Share of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] Share of population with confidence in national government (%) [10] Share of population satisfied with roads (%) [10] Share of urban population satisfied with the availability of quality healthcare (%) [10] Share of population satisfied with standard of living (%) [10]	2007 46.0 60.0 43.0 72.0 43.0 60.0	2017 30.0 78.0 27.0 62.0 33.0 77.0	2007 36.9 72.9 40.9 54.4 55.5 68.6	34.9 74.5 36.1 53.4 49.9 69.3	53.0 60.0 41.0 61.0 69.0 73.0	60.0 54.0 45.0 66.0 69.0 77.0
Share of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] Share of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] Share of population with confidence in national government (%) [10] Share of population satisfied with roads (%) [10] Share of urban population satisfied with the availability of quality healthcare (%) [10]	2007 46.0 60.0 43.0 72.0 43.0 60.0 40.0	2017 30.0 78.0 27.0 62.0 33.0 77.0 45.0	2007 36.9 72.9 40.9 54.4 55.5 68.6 46.8	34.9 74.5 36.1 53.4 49.9 69.3 46.2	53.0 60.0 41.0 61.0 69.0 73.0 61.0	60.0 54.0 45.0 66.0 69.0 77.0 72.0
Share of population that believes in honesty in elections (%) [10] Share of population that thinks corruption is widespread throughout government (%) [10] Share of population with confidence in national government (%) [10] Share of population satisfied with roads (%) [10] Share of urban population satisfied with the availability of quality healthcare (%) [10] Share of population satisfied with standard of living (%) [10]	2007 46.0 60.0 43.0 72.0 43.0 60.0	2017 30.0 78.0 27.0 62.0 33.0 77.0	2007 36.9 72.9 40.9 54.4 55.5 68.6	34.9 74.5 36.1 53.4 49.9 69.3	53.0 60.0 41.0 61.0 69.0 73.0	60.0 54.0 45.0 66.0 69.0 77.0

Sources, footnotes and technical details can be found at the end of the country notes.



From:

Latin American Economic Outlook 2019 Development in Transition

Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/g2g9ff18-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD, et al. (2019), "Chile", in Latin American Economic Outlook 2019: Development in Transition, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/91b2d1f7-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

