# Georgia

Georgia has met all aspects of the terms of reference (OECD, 2021[1]) (ToR) for the calendar year 2022 (year in review), and no recommendations are made.

Georgia can legally issue four types of rulings within the scope of the transparency framework.

In practice, Georgia issued no rulings within the scope of the transparency framework.

As no exchanges were required to take place, no peer input was received in respect of the exchanges of information on rulings received from Georgia.

# Information gathering process (ToR I.A)

- 473. Georgia can legally issue the following four types of rulings within the scope of the transparency framework: (i) preferential regimes; (ii) cross-border unilateral APAs and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering transfer pricing or the application of transfer pricing principles; (iii) permanent establishment rulings; and (iv) related party conduit rulings.
- 474. For Georgia, past rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued either: (i) on or after 1 January 2016 but before 1 April 2018; or (ii) on or after 1 January 2014 but before 1 January 2016, provided they were still in effect as at 1 January 2016. Future rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued on or after 1 April 2018.
- 475. In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that Georgia's undertakings to identify past and future rulings and all potential exchange jurisdictions were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. In addition, it was determined that Georgia's review and supervision mechanism was sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Georgia's implementation remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.
- 476. Georgia has met all of the ToR for the information gathering process and no recommendations are made.

# **Exchange of information (ToR II.B)**

- 477. Georgia has the necessary domestic legal basis to exchange information spontaneously. Georgia notes that there are no legal or practical impediments that prevent the spontaneous exchange of information on rulings as contemplated in the Action 5 minimum standard.
- 478. Georgia has international agreements permitting spontaneous exchange of information, including: (i) the *Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol* (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011<sub>[2]</sub>) ("the Convention") and (ii) bilateral agreements in force with 58 jurisdictions.<sup>2</sup>
- 479. During the year in review, no exchanges were required to take place and no data on the timeliness of exchanges is reported.
- 480. In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that Georgia's process for the completion and exchange of templates were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. With respect to past rulings, no further action was required. Georgia's implementation in this regard remains unchanged and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.
- 481. Georgia has met all of the ToR for the exchange of information process and no recommendations are made.

# Statistics (ToR IV.D)

482. As no rulings were issued, no statistics can be reported.

### Matters related to intellectual property regimes (ToR I.A.1.3)

483. Georgia does not offer an intellectual property regime for which transparency requirements under the Action 5 Report (OECD, 2015<sub>[3]</sub>) were imposed.

# Summary of recommendations on implementation of the transparency framework

Aspect of implementation of the transparency framework that should be improved	Recommendation for improvement
	No recommendations are made.

#### References

OECD (2021), BEPS Action 5 on Harmful Tax Practices - Terms of Reference and Methodology for the Conduct of the Peer Reviews of the Action 5 Transparency Framework, OECD Publishing, Paris, <a href="https://www.oecd.org/tax/beps/beps-action-5-harmful-tax-practices-peer-review-transparency-framework.pdf">https://www.oecd.org/tax/beps/beps-action-5-harmful-tax-practices-peer-review-transparency-framework.pdf</a>.

[1]

- OECD (2015), Countering Harmful Tax Practices More Effectively, Taking into Account

  Transparency and Substance, Action 5 2015 Final Report, OECD/G20 Base Erosion and
  Profit Shifting Project, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264241190-en.
- OECD/Council of Europe (2011), *The Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264115606-en">https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264115606-en</a>.

#### **Notes**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> International financial company and 2) Virtual zone person.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Participating jurisdictions to the Convention are available here: <a href="www.oecd.org/tax/exchange-of-tax-information/convention-on-mutual-administrative-assistance-in-tax-matters.htm">www.oecd.org/tax/exchange-of-tax-information/convention-on-mutual-administrative-assistance-in-tax-matters.htm</a>. Georgia also has bilateral agreements with Armenia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Bahamas, Bahrain, Belarus, Belgium, Bulgaria, China (People's Republic of), Croatia, Cyprus, Czechia, Denmark, Egypt, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, India, Iran, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Kazakhstan, Korea, Kuwait, Latvia, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Moldova, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, San Marino, Saudi Arabia, Serbia, Seychelles, Singapore, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom and Uzbekistan.



#### From:

Harmful Tax Practices – 2022 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5

# Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/22bbeacc-en

# Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2023), "Georgia", in *Harmful Tax Practices – 2022 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/89080fa0-en

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions.

