Japan

A. Progress in the implementation of the minimum standard

Japan has 78 tax agreements in force as reported in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire. Fifty of those agreements comply with the minimum standard.

Japan signed the MLI in 2017 and deposited its instrument of acceptance on 26 September 2018. The MLI entered into force for Japan on 1 January 2019. Subsequently, Japan made additional notifications to expand its list of agreements to be covered under the MLI. 90 The agreements modified by the MLI come into compliance with the minimum standard once the provisions of the MLI take effect. Japan has not listed its agreements with Armenia, Belarus, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Sri Lanka, Switzerland, the United States, Viet Nam and Zambia, which have not joined the MLI or have joined the MLI but not listed the agreements with Japan in their definitive list of reservations and notifications under the MLI. These agreements will therefore not, at this stage, be modified by the MLI. Japan indicated that it would list such agreements once the treaty partners join the MLI and list the agreements with Japan in their definitive list of reservations and notifications under the MLI except for the cases where the agreement will be updated through bilateral negotiations to be compliant with the minimum standard.

Japan has signed a bilateral complying instrument with respect to its agreement with Switzerland.

Japan indicated in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire that steps have been taken (other than under the MLI) to implement the minimum standard in its agreement with Azerbaijan*.

Japan indicated in its response to the Peer Review questionnaire that the agreements with Armenia, Belarus, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Sri Lanka, Viet Nam and Zambia do not give rise to material treaty-shopping concerns for Japan.

Japan is implementing the minimum standard through the inclusion of the preamble statement and the PPT for its compliant agreements with Canada, Chile, Czech Republic, Ecuador*, Egypt, Finland, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Israel, Jamaica, Kazakhstan, Korea, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Morocco, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Serbia, Singapore, the Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Ukraine, the United Arab Emirates and Uruguay. Japan is implementing the minimum standard through the inclusion of the preamble statement and the PPT combined with the LOB for its compliant agreements with Australia, Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Denmark, Estonia, France, Georgia, Germany, Iceland, Latvia, Lithuania, Netherlands, New Zealand, Russian Federation, Spain, the United Kingdom and Uzbekistan*.

B. Conclusion

No jurisdiction has raised any concerns about their agreements with Japan.

⁹⁰ Japan made additional notifications to expand its list of agreements to be covered under the MLI on 14 February 2020, on 22 July 2020 and on 21 April 2022.

⁹¹ For its agreements listed under the MLI, Japan is implementing the preamble statement (Article 6 of the MLI) and the PPT (Article 7 of the MLI). Japan has made a reservation pursuant to Article 6(4) of the MLI not to apply Article 6(1) of the MLI with respect to agreements that already contain the relevant preamble language (covering one agreement).

Summary of the jurisdiction response - Japan

	1.Treaty partners	2. Compliance with the standard	3. Signature of a complying instrument	4. Minimum standard provision used
1	Australia	Yes MLI		PPT+LOB
2	Austria	Yes other		PPT+LOB
3	Azerbaijan*	No	No	
4	Belgium	Yes other		PPT+LOB
5	Bulgaria	No	Yes MLI	PPT
6	Canada	Yes MLI		PPT
7	Chile	Yes other		PPT
8	China (People's Republic of)	No	Yes MLI	PPT
9	Croatia	Yes other		PPT+LOB
10	Czech Republic	Yes MLI		PPT
11	Denmark	Yes other		PPT+LOB
12	Ecuador*	Yes other		PPT
13	Egypt	Yes MLI		PPT
14	Estonia	Yes other		PPT+LOB
15	Fiji*	No	Yes MLI	PPT
16	Finland	Yes MLI		PPT
17	France	Yes MLI		PPT+LOB
18	Georgia	Yes other		PPT+LOB
19	Germany	Yes other		PPT+LOB
20	Hong Kong (China)	No	Yes MLI	PPT
21	Hungary	Yes MLI	1 00 WEI	PPT
22	Iceland	Yes other		PPT+LOB
23	India	Yes MLI		PPT
24 24	Indonesia	Yes MLI		PPT
25	Ireland	Yes MLI		PPT
26 26	Israel	Yes MLI		PPT
20 27	Italy	No	Yes MLI	PPT
28	Jamaica	Yes other	T 65 IVILI	PPT
20 29	Kazakhstan	Yes MLI		PPT
30	Korea	Yes MLI		PPT
31	Kuwait*	No	Yes MLI	PPT
32			T es MLI	
	Latvia	Yes other		PPT+LOB
33 34	Lithuania	Yes other		PPT+LOB
	Luxembourg	Yes MLI		PPT
35	Malaysia	Yes MLI	V. MII	PPT
36	Mexico	No	Yes MLI	PPT
37	Morocco	Yes other		PPT
38	Netherlands	Yes MLI		PPT+LOB
39	New Zealand	Yes MLI		PPT+LOB
40	Norway	Yes MLI		PPT
41	Oman	Yes MLI		PPT
42	Pakistan	Yes MLI		PPT
43	Peru	Yes other		PPT
44	Poland	Yes MLI		PPT
45	Portugal	Yes MLI		PPT
46	Qatar	Yes MLI		PPT
47	Romania	No	Yes MLI	PPT
48	Russian Federation	Yes other		PPT+LOB
49	Saudi Arabia	Yes MLI		PPT

50	Serbia	Yes other		PPT
51	Singapore	Yes MLI		PPT
52	Slovak Republic	Yes MLI		PPT
53	Slovenia	Yes other		PPT
54	South Africa	No	Yes MLI	PPT
55	Spain	Yes other		PPT+LOB
56	Sweden	No	Yes MLI	PPT+LOB
57	Switzerland	No	Yes other	PPT+LOB
58	Thailand	No	Yes MLI	PPT
59	Türkiye	No	Yes MLI	PPT
60	Ukraine	Yes MLI		PPT
61	United Arab Emirates	Yes MLI		PPT
62	United Kingdom	Yes MLI		PPT+LOB
63	United States	No	No	D-LOB
64	Uruguay	Yes other		PPT
65	Uzbekistan*	Yes other		PPT+LOB

Other agreements

	1.Treaty partners	2. Inclusive Framework member
1	Armenia	Yes
2	Bangladesh*	No
3	Belarus	Yes
4	Brazil	Yes
5	Brunei Darussalam	Yes
6	Kyrgyzstan*	No
7	Moldova*	No
8	Philippines*	No
9	Sri Lanka	Yes
10	Tajikistan*	No
11	Turkmenistan*	No
12	Viet Nam	Yes
13	Zambia	Yes



From:

Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Fifth Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping

Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6

Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/9afac47c-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2023), "Japan", in *Prevention of Tax Treaty Abuse – Fifth Peer Review Report on Treaty Shopping: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 6*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/5b573103-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions.

