



Using unit value indices as proxies for international merchandise trade prices

https://dx.doi.org/10.1787/27a5abd7-en

Guannan Miao,

Enrico Wegner





Unclassified

SDD/DOC(2022)1

English - Or. English 14 February 2022

STATISTICS AND DATA DIRECTORATE

Using unit value indices as proxies for international merchandise trade prices

SDD Working Paper No. 111

Contact: Ms Annabelle Mourougane (Annabelle.mourougane@oecd.org).

JT03489502

Using unit value indices as proxies for international merchandise trade prices

By Guannan Miao and Enrico Wegner

OECD and University of Maastricht¹

¹ The authors worked in the Trade and Productivity Division of the Statistics and Data Directorate and the Development Center respectively at the time of writing. Enrico Wegner is now a Master Student at School of Business and Economics Maastricht. They would like to thank Fabienne Fortanier, Aliette Cheptitski and Fons Strik for their contributions on an earlier version of the paper. They also thank Nadim Ahmad, Andrea Andrenelli, Kéa Baret, Sophie Guilloux-Nefussi, Antonella Liberatore, Annabelle Mourougane and Rodolfo Ostolaza for their useful comments and suggestions at various stages of this research project. Special thanks to Philippe Marson and Virginie Elgrably for excellent technical assistance.

OECD STATISTICS WORKING PAPER SERIES

The OECD Statistics Working Paper Series – managed by the OECD Statistics and Data Directorate – is designed to make available in a timely fashion and to a wider readership selected studies prepared by OECD staff or by outside consultants working on OECD projects. The papers included are of a technical, methodological or statistical policy nature and relate to statistical work relevant to the Organisation. The Working Papers are generally available only in their original language – English or French – with a summary in the other.

OECD Working Papers should not be reported as representing the official views of the OECD or of its member countries. The opinions expressed and arguments employed are those of the authors.

Working Papers describe preliminary results or research in progress by the authors and are published to stimulate discussion on a broad range of issues on which the OECD works. Comments on Working Papers are welcomed, and may be sent to the Statistics and Data Directorate, OECD, 2 rue André Pascal, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France.

This document, as well as any statistical data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

The release of this working paper has been authorised by Paul Schreyer, OECD Chief Statistician and Director of the OECD Statistics and Data Directorate.

www.oecd.org/sdd/publicationsdocuments/workingpapers/

Abstract

In light of the need for detailed and timely internationally comparable trade price indices, this paper describes a multi-tiered methodology to mitigate many of the empirical challenges associated with using customs data, to provide more robust estimates of unit value indices (UVIs) by country and product. UVIs are available for both exports and imports, by reporting country and the CPA 2-digit level of classification. Although the approach cannot capture changes in the quality of products nor compositional changes happening at a lower than HS 6-digit classification, the results indicate that at higher levels of aggregation (SITC 1-digit level), estimated UVIs closely follow price changes obtained from other sources. This is observed both for products with significant and rapid quality changes, such as hi-tech products, and for products with a low rate of quality changes, such as commodities, other primary and low-tech goods. Furthermore, products where little quality change occurs over time show similarity between UVIs and price changes from other sources at lower levels of disaggregation. The methodology is used to produce the Merchandise Trade Price Index and the data is made publically available on .Stat under the International Trade and Balance of Payments heading.

Keywords: Unit value, international trade, outlier removal, international trade price indices.

JEL Classification: C43, C82, E31.

Résumé

Compte tenu de la nécessité de disposer d'indices des prix du commerce détaillés et actualisés, comparables au niveau international, cet article décrit une méthodologie à plusieurs niveaux permettant d'atténuer bon nombre des difficultés empiriques liées à l'utilisation des données douanières, afin de fournir des estimations plus robustes des indices de valeur unitaire (IVU) par pays et par produit. Les indices IVU sont disponibles pour les exportations et les importations, par pays déclarant et par niveau de classification à deux chiffres de la CPA. Bien que l'approche ne puisse pas capturer les changements de qualité des produits ni les changements de composition à un niveau plus fin de désagrégation que la décomposition HS à six chiffres, les résultats indiquent qu'à des niveaux d'agrégation plus élevés (niveau à un chiffre de la CTCI), les IVU estimés suivent de près les changements de prix obtenus à partir d'autres sources. Ceci est observé à la fois pour les produits dont la qualité change de manière significative et rapide, tels que les produits à haute technologie, ainsi que pour les produits dont la qualité change peu, tels que les produits de base, les autres produits primaires et les produits à faible technologie. En outre, les produits dont la qualité change peu au fil du temps présentent des similitudes entre les indices IVU et les variations de prix provenant d'autres sources à des niveaux de désagrégation inférieurs. La méthodologie discutée est utilisée pour produire l'indice des prix du commerce des marchandises et les données sont rendues publiques sur .Stat sous la rubrique Commerce international et balance des paiements.

Mots clés: Valeur unitaire, commerce international, élimination des valeurs aberrantes, indices des prix du commerce international.

Classification JEL: C43, C82, E31.

Table of contents

Using unit value indices as proxies for international merchandise trade prices	2
OECD STATISTICS WORKING PAPER SERIES	3
1. Introduction	7
2. Data and methodology	8
2.1. Data sources.2.2. Methodology.	9 10
3. Results and validation	15
 3.1. Comparisons with implicit price indices from official statistics 3.2. Comparisons with global commodity prices	16 20 20 22 24
4. New insights from detailed merchandise unit value indices	26
4.1. Major trends in international merchandise trade are different in real terms4.2. UVIs of products for intermediate and final use	26 30
5. Conclusions	31
References	33
Annex A. Unit value distributions	35
Annex B. Frequently trading countries	39
Annex C. Comtrade quantity codes and their frequency in trade	41
Annex D. Global commodity prices and UVIs	42
Annex E. World commodity correspondence to HS-4 digit product	44
Annex F. Unit Value Index summary statistics for OECD countries	46
Annex G. Parameter simulation	48

FIGURES

Figure 3.1. Distance to implicit price indices: percentage of observations under 10% differences	16
Figure 3.2. UVI and price index at SITC 1-digit level	18
Figure 3.3. Commodity price indices and UVIs, selected examples	20
Figure 3.4. UVI for petroleum (CPA 19, 2010=100)	21
Figure 3.5. UVI variations across countries: HS 3- and 4-digit levels	23
Figure 3.6. Overlap of UVI distributions between imports (red) and exports (blue)	25
Figure 4.1. Global merchandise imports (in USD), in nominal and real values, 2010-2020	
(chained, 2010=100)	27
Figure 4.2. Product share in global merchandise imports and unit value indices in USD, by CPA	28
Figure 4.3. UVI correlation between intermediate and final goods, 2011-2020	30
Figure A.1. Distribution of log unit values split by quantity and year for selected products in selected	
years	35
Figure A.2. Distribution of log unit values split by year for selected products	37

Figure D.1. Commodity price index and UVI, selected examples42Figure G.1. Parameter simulation with respect to choice of K and c in AFM outlier detection method, Rice48imports (HS 1006)48

TABLES

Table 2.1. Unit value before and after the first stage of outlier detection, rice imports -AFM method, USD)
per kilogramme	11
Table 2.2. UVI before and after the second stage of outlier detection, rice imports - AFM method	12
Table 2.3. UVI after the third stage of outlier detection, rice imports - AFM method	12
Table 2.4. Trade coverage after outlier detections, 2011-2020	14
Table 2.5. Mozambique rice imports, unit value indices and total trade value available in USD and shares	15
Table 3.1. Statistics for the change in UV between t and t-1all countries, benchmarked to implicit price	
indices	21

Table B.1. Frequently trading countries and the share of observations remaining after each outlier detect	ction
phase, Imports, 2010-2020	39
Table C.1. Comtrade quantity unit codes and their frequency before and after removal of outliers (all the	iree
stages), 2011-2020	41
Table E.1. Matching between World Bank's reported commodities and HS-4 digit products	44
Table F.1. Export UVI summary statistics on CPA level for OECD countries, 2011-2020	46
Table F.2. Import UVI summary statistics on CPA level for OECD countries, 2011-2020	47

1. Introduction

At the core of nearly all standard economic analyses is the ability to differentiate between volume and price effects. This is equally pertinent for statistics on international trade. However, despite the growing importance of international trade, the availability of statistics on price changes that go beyond aggregated measures can at best be described as patchy.

Nearly all countries provide measures of **overall** price change in international trade, typically broken down by goods and services, as part of their volume measures of GDP. However, a few countries provide trade price indices for the main (SITC) aggregated product groups, and even fewer provide estimates at more detailed product level (i.e. at 2-digit CPA level). The lack of timely trade price indices, especially at the **detailed** product level, has increasingly become the focus of trade policy and trade analyses.

More granular estimates of price changes can be obtained from merchandise trade statistics collected by customs authorities. These data include information on quantities of the transactions to complement nominal values, broken down by product and trading partner, therefore provide a means to create Unit Value Indices (UVIs) as proxies for import and export price indices.

Indeed, to compute their national-account estimates of trade in volume, many countries adopt the UVI approach. However, the use of customs data is not without challenges: UVIs often mix non-homogeneous products even at the finest level of classification, and are not able to capture price changes in goods that are subject to significant quality changes over time (see also Bradley, 2005; Silver 2007, 2010; and von den Lippe, 2010). In addition, confidentiality rules hide certain trade products, which make prices and price changes untraceable. Lastly, ILO et al. (2009) also clearly explain the shortcoming of UVIs including the possible bias they introduce, but also admit that UVIs are still useful when survey based approaches are infeasible or too costly, as is the case in this study.

From a conceptual point of view, the inability to capture quality change is an important shortcoming in creating volume measures of merchandise trade using UVIs. However, many traded products, such as commodities, are not significantly affected by quality changes. Moreover, even when quality changes occur within the same classification grouping, for example through the introduction of new upgraded products, the new products are often introduced in small quantities initially relative to the goods that are gradually being displaced – meaning that the impact of the quality change on price measurement is spread over a number of periods. In addition, a significant part of the quality change in many products reflects design and brand, the value of which is often only captured when the product is sold to its final market (and not always captured in export F.O.B or import C.I.F prices).²

Often, it is not the inability to capture these quality changes that impede the take-up and use of customs data, but, rather, the inability to control for compositional changes and the underlying quality of quantity data – their coherence with nominal values and their comparability over time and across

² A number of studies have looked to estimate quality change in trade based UVIs, see, for example, Schott (2004, 2008), Hallak (2006), Hallak & Shott (2011), Khandelwal (2010), Martin & Mejean (2010), Feenstra & Romanlis (2014), and Ahmad (2019).

countries – which can be witnessed in the often wide, and arguably implausible, variation in unit values for certain individual products at most detailed (HS 6-digit) level.³

Addressing these data quality issues to derive more robust measures of UVIs from customs statistics is, therefore, the focus of this paper. The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the empirical methodology in detail, including in particular the steps taken to mitigate some of the source data limitations. The results are presented in Section 3, which includes comparisons with other data sources and national accounts benchmark estimates. Section 4 provides examples of how the newly estimated price indices can be used for analytical purposes and how potentially prices develop along GVCs. Section 5 concludes.

2. Data and methodology

Trivial as it may seem, constructing unit value indices (UVIs) from detailed merchandise trade data requires an intricate process of data cleaning and outlier removal. The key challenge is to identify and exclude unrealistic **prices** and **price changes** while ensuring that these indices are representative of the underlying trade transactions.

The common practice in creating national UVIs, drawing only on national data (imports and exports by partner and product), is to retain those export or import unit values that are close to the observed median for a given product, and sometimes, it is done in combination with other quality checks. Earlier OECD work followed countries' best practices using the Asymmetric Fence Method (AFM) and the revised Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) methods for outlier detection.⁴ WTO and UNCTAD also publish trade in volume indices and unit value indices at aggregated level, which are either estimated in house or sourced from national and international sources.

Gaulier et al. (2008) focused on unit value changes and retained only those UVIs between 0.2 to 5. Berthou & Emlinger (2011) combined the two approaches, by screening out unit values that were 100 times higher or lower than the median, and UVIs that were less than a factor of 0.0001 or greater than 1 000 times those in the previous year.

Building on and refining the methodology introduced in the previous studies, this paper proposes a three-stage process to detect outliers before constructing UVIs at various levels of disaggregation. In addition, the proposed outlier detection method also takes into account exchange rate fluctuations.

³ Earlier OECD studies (Mendez et al., 2014; Wistrom and Sarrazin, 2012; Wistrom and Serve, 2011) also revealed significant differences between UVIs reported by country and published commodity price indices.

⁴ Usually, AFM is used for groupings with more than 100 observations and MAD for less than 100.

2.1. Data sources

The key data used are the detailed merchandise trade statistics at the HS 6-digit product level, by reporter and partner, which are sourced from the UN Comtrade database. As the target years for this research spanned the period 2010 to 2020, trade flows are reported in three different classifications, namely HS2007, HS2012, and HS2017. To avoid breaks in time series, only products with a 1:1 concordance between the three classifications were selected, covering 93% of products.⁵ At the time of writing, about 50% of countries submitted their 2019 and 2020 merchandise trade data to UN. Therefore, the estimates for these two years should be treated as provisional.

To provide some form of quality assurance for the UVIs estimated from UN Comtrade, these are compared to three additional sources:

- *National accounts implicit trade price deflator*: Statistics on the exports and imports of goods, or of goods and services (if not separately reported), in current and in previous year's prices (PYP) are collected from the OECD, UNSD and Eurostat's national accounts database, as well as from national statistical offices. The implicit price indices are calculated as reported current prices over PYP in national currency. Implicit price indices are derived for the exports and imports of *goods* for 106 countries, and for the exports and imports of *goods and services* for 86 countries where price indices could only be derived at aggregated levels (see Section 3.1).
- *Trade price indices released by national statistical office:* For some countries, annual trade price indices are also available at SITC 1-digit level (10 product groups), which allows for a comparison with the UVIs developed in this paper. The three countries for which data are available Germany, Australia and Canada have different methodologies for estimating trade prices and price changes (see Section 3.1).
- World Bank Global Economic Monitor Key Commodities Price: It offers global reference prices for key commodities, such as copper, petroleum (separated into various categories such as crude oil, Brent; crude oil, Dubai; and crude oil, West Texas Intermediates),, cotton, cocoa, and other kinds of primary goods, which are frequently traded on global markets. These products can be closely matched with certain HS classifications at 4-digit level (see section 3.2 and Annex D).

Exchange rates are sourced from various databases, including UNSD, World Development Indicators, Penn World Tables, and from national statistical offices. International merchandise trade data reported to the UN can be either in USD or in national currency unit (NCU). UNSD has harmonised these trade data (into USD) by applying appropriate exchange rates, which are published separately as metadata. We use these metadata to convert trade flows in USD to NCU. If exchange rates are not already included in the metadata (i.e. country reported trade flows in USD), data gaps are filled by using the exchange rates

⁵Correspondence tables are available on UN website: <u>https://unstats.un.org/unsd/trade/classifications/correspondence-tables.asp</u>.

from World Development Indicators, Penn World Tables or national statistical offices.

2.2. Methodology

In constructing merchandise trade unit values indices, the methodology for detecting outliers entails a fine balancing act to identify unit values that should be considered as noise (and hence removed from further calculations) and plausible unit value changes.

A key decision to make in this context concerns the level of disaggregation at which outlier detection is conducted. As noted above, merchandise trade data are available broken down by product and partner country, and, in theory, outlier detection should be applied within product (HS 6-digit level), reporter and partner stratum. However, in practice, it means that only one or limited number of observations are in each stratum, inhibiting any meaningful attempts at identifying outliers.

Indeed, the balance of stratification and product heterogeneity is a "fine-art", or a "philosophical debate" for this statistical puzzle. The heterogeneous nature of products exists in the well-defined HS 6-digit classification, even at 8-digit or 10-digit level, so UVIs, as a result, may measure quality changes that bundled composition changes and price changes at the same time. However, going to the most detailed records for outlier detection results in low trade frequency, and the statistics based on such limited trading records hit small sample bias and run into bimodal distribution problems easily.

Therefore, for this research, the stratum for outlier detection is defined by HS 4digit product and quantity unit, which allows for a sufficient number of observations (to avoid small sample bias), while still maintaining a reasonable degree of product homogeneity⁶ (see Annex C for the quantity codes used in UN COMTRADE and their reporting frequency). UVIs are estimated by reporter and product, but not by partner.⁷

A three-stage outlier removal procedure was used to identify outliers:

- 1. in unit values,
- 2. in unit value changes over time, and
- 3. in unit value changes across countries.

In the *first* stage, all HS 6-digit unit values are grouped into strata defined by HS 4-digit product, quantity unit and year (i.e. pooling data across countries and

⁶ Gaulier et al. (2008) and Berthou & Emlinger (2011) introduced a conversion for goods reported other than kilogrammes, and converted all other quantity codes to kilogrammes using mirrored flows reported in more than one quantity, but this data-driven solution assumes good quality mirror statistics (some recent empirical research carried out by the OECD suggested otherwise).

⁷ While it is feasible to develop indices by trading partner, this would come at the cost of either the loss of statistical power (i.e. running into small sample problem quickly), or a higher level of product aggregation (i.e. increase more tolerance for product heterogeneity). Further research may aim to elaborate price indices with breakdowns by partner countries for the frequent reporters and partners where sufficient number of observations can be drawn from UN Comtrade database.

partners). Outliers are identified and removed using the AFM method (see Box 1) in line with previous OECD studies and examples set by OECD countries. The unit value of a product (observed at the HS 6-digit level) is removed if it is too high or too low compared to other products in the same stratum. For the distribution of unit values for selected products, please refer to Annex A.

In the *second* stage, observations with implausible year-on-year price changes are removed, where 'implausible' is defined as any observation where the unit value change (relative to the previous year) is lower than 0.2 or higher than 10 in both US dollar and national currency, and *only if* the corresponding trade flow amounts to less than 10% of trade value in the stratum. The latter criterion is to avoid automatically screening out large flows (checked manually), as it will have a systematic and significant impact on unit value changes. Observations for any given country-partner-product mix that are reported using different quantity units over time (e.g. kilogrammes in one year and numbers of units in the following year) are also removed.

In the *third* stage, a comparison of price changes at 6-digit is made across countries and partners within their respective strata. As in the second stage, the observations that account for more than 10% of trade in each stratum are also preserved and checked manually.

Taking rice (HS1006) as an example, Table 2.1 shows that the unit value of rice per kilogramme according to UN Comtrade ranges from near zero in the lower bound to USD 493 thousand in the upper bound. It is not easy to gauge which prices are realistic and which prices are not and therefore to separate false positives from true negatives: potentially some countries indeed pay exorbitant prices for rice under certain circumstances. The cut-off line needs to be drawn somewhere: the AFM criteria is used in the first stage of outlier detection to identify and remove those unit value prices that are more likely implausible when comparing with unit values of rice imported by other countries.

	Observed range of unit	Accepted rang	je after stage 1	
	UV lower bound	UV upper bound	UV lower bound	UV upper bound
2010	0.00	6607.38	0.38	3.96
2011	0.00	659.13	0.38	4.00
2012	0.00	493620.00	0.40	3.95
2013	0.00	501.00	0.37	3.88
2014	0.00	5691.25	0.37	4.38
2015	0.00	7903.75	0.35	3.97
2016	0.00	3876.00	0.33	3.78
2017	0.00	266.16	0.36	3.78
2018	0.00	589.00	0.35	3.65
2019	0.00	350.00	0.33	3.74
2020	0.00	534.00	0.38	3.74

Table 2.1. Unit value before and after the first stage of outlier detection, rice imports - AFM method, USD per kilogramme

Note: About 50% of countries have submitted their 2019 and 2020 trade data to UN Comtrade at the time when data are processed. Therefore, the results for these two years should be treated as provisional.

Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade data.

The second stage of outlier detection, carrying on with the rice example, removes unit value changes (expressed as the ratio of unit value at time t and t-1) above 10 or less than 0.2 in both NCU and USD (see Table 2.2), except for the observations representing over 10% of total trade in their respective strata.

The third stage of outlier detection brings the remainder of unit value changes together within the same stratification and uses AFM outlier detection again to further remove implausible changes in both NCU and USD. The third and final cut-off ranges for rice imports, using 2020 data as an example, are 0.70~1.36 in USD, and 0.79~1.71 in NCU (Table 2.3).

Table 2.2. UVI before and after the second stage of outlier detection, rice imports - AFM method

	Befo	ore second stage	e of outlier deteo	ction	Afte	After second stage of outlier detection			
	UVI in	NCU	UVI ir	n USD	UVI in	NCU	UVI in USD		
	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
2011	0.11	7.02	0.11	7.24	0.20	7.02	0.21	7.24	
2012	0.13	133.31	0.12	7.98	0.20	7.94	0.18	7.98	
2013	0.13	8.87	0.14	7.38	0.20	8.87	0.20	7.38	
2014	0.13	16.51	0.13	11.06	0.21	9.17	0.17	9.16	
2015	0.02	10.05	0.10	8.59	0.05	10.05	0.18	8.59	
2016	0.09	32.17	0.09	7.85	0.20	32.17	0.16	7.85	
2017	0.12	9.48	0.11	9.69	0.19	9.48	0.20	9.69	
2018	0.00	13.65	0.13	8.16	0.00	13.65	0.18	8.16	
2019	0.12	12.43	0.11	9.01	0.21	12.43	0.20	9.01	
2020	0.13	11.42	0.12	9.10	0.21	11.42	0.21	9.10	

Note: About 50% of countries have submitted their 2019 and 2020 trade data to UN Comtrade at the time when data are processed. Therefore, the results for these two years should be treated as provisional.

Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade data.

Table 2.3. UVI after the third stage of outlier detection, rice imports - AFM method

		After third stage of outlier detection							
		UVI in	NCU	UVI in US	D				
	No. Obs.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
2011	2083	0.68	2.18	0.65	1.50				
2012	2184	0.74	2.70	0.56	1.41				
2013	2308	0.77	1.68	0.69	1.33				
2014	2517	0.76	1.94	0.53	1.44				
2015	2648	0.08	2.15	0.48	1.36				
2016	2647	0.68	11.70	0.59	1.37				
2017	2663	0.71	1.84	0.63	1.46				
2018	2544	0.00	4.72	0.52	1.45				
2019	2423	0.79	2.08	0.57	1.39				
2020	2299	0.79	1.71	0.70	1.36				

Note: About 50% of countries have submitted their 2019 and 2020 trade data to UN Comtrade at the time when data are processed. Therefore, the results for these two years should be treated as provisional.

Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade data.

Box 1. Asymmetric Fence Method and revised mean absolute deviation and sensitivity analysis

The Asymmetric Fence Method (AFM) is recommended for use within groups with more than 100 observations and identifies as outliers all observations that meet the following criteria:

$$OR \begin{cases} q_1 - uv^i_{hs6} > K_{AFM} * max(q_2 - q_1, c * |q_2|) \\ uv^i_{hs6} - q_3 > K_{AFM} * max(q_3 - q_2, c * |q_2|) \end{cases}$$

where uv_{hs6}^{i} is the logarithm of the unit value (at 6-digit level). q_1, q_2 and q_3 correspond to the first, the second, and the third quartiles of the population distribution of the log unit value within HS 4-digit – quantity type group. K_{AFM} and c are pre-set parameters, with c = 0.05 and $K_{AFM} = 1$.

The Revised Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) is recommended for use in groups with less than 100 observations and identifies as outliers all observations that meet the following criteria:

$$AND \begin{cases} |uv^{i}_{hs6} - q_{2}| > K_{MAD} * MAD_{hs6} \\ |exp(uv^{i}_{hs6}) - exp(q_{2})| > A * |exp(q_{2})| \end{cases}$$

where with $MAD_{hs6} = median |uv_{hs6}^i - q_2|$, A=0.1 and $K_{MAD} = 2$.

The parameters used here are suggested by several authors (Mendez et al., 2014; Wistrom & Sarrazin, 2012; Wistrom & Serve, 2011; Anitori, 2011; Zetina, 2011). Robustness tests are also performed using different parameters (i.e. double or half K and c) in AFM method, taking rice imports data as an example. Indeed, UVIs is sensitive to the choice of K for certain countries but insensitive to the choice of c (see Annex G).

It is important to note that the first outlier detection for unit values is based only on cross-country comparisons of unit value levels in US dollars. The second and third stages of the outlier detection, on the other hand, compare the unit value changes with other products in the same 4-digit strata in USD and NCU, and are only removed if they appear as 'outliers' in **both**.⁸ Even though many international trade deals are conducted in USD, national trade price indices, as reflected in countries' official statistics, are typically expressed in national currencies, as this best describes the extent to which price changes in domestic markets. For example, a currency depreciation makes imports more expensive, resulting in higher prices for businesses and consumers.

Table 2.4 summarises data coverage after each stage of outlier detection, with breakdowns by country groups according to their trade frequencies. It shows that the 50 countries in the first tier with highest trade frequencies (hereafter referred to as Tier 1, see also Annex B) between 2011 and 2020 in exports and imports account for about 92% of global trade, and quantity information are available for nearly 90% of reported trade flows.

⁸ This reflects the fact that countries/firms can choose to capitalise, for example, on a depreciating currency by raising profits (i.e. by increasing prices in national currencies) or by increasing market share (i.e. by keeping prices fixed in national currencies, in other words reducing them in USD). This is particularly relevant for countries with high (and in some cases hyper) inflation.

The first stage of outlier detection (based on unit values of products) results in a range of observations equivalent to 88% of total trade for both imports and exports, where quantities are available. The second and third stages (based on unit value changes) reduced the coverage further to over 70% for both imports and exports for these countries (which may reflect the changes in reporting quantity code).

Table 2.4. Trade coverage after outlier detections, 2011-2020

Country groups	Average	Share in	Ave	erage share of obser	vations for which UV	are available
	number of observations per country	total world trade	Total*	After outlier detection phase 1**	After outlier detection phase 2**	After outlier detection phase 3**
Exports						
Frequent traders (rank 1- 50)	1,012,580	91.9%	88.8%	87.6%	79.1%	73.2%
In-between (rank 51- 100)	117,102	5.8%	93.8%	83.8%	69.1%	61.5%
Least frequent traders	14,909	2.3%	90.1%	75.4%	55.4%	48.5%
Unweighted Average	327,370		90.8%	81.3%	66.2%	59.4%
Imports						
Frequent traders (rank 1- 50)	744,731	91.7%	88.8%	88.0%	80.2%	73.9%
In-between (rank 51- 100)	362,609	6.4%	91.7%	84.7%	71.9%	63.8%
Least frequent traders	115,023	1.9%	87.7%	81.4%	61.4%	52.3%
Unweighted Average	364,254		89.1%	84.2%	69.8%	61.8%

Countries are grouped according to their number of trade transactions

Note: Only HS 6-digit product codes where there is a one-to-one map among three HS classifications are selected. Data may not add up to 100% due to rounding.

* The denominator used in calculating shares is the total trade flow. For example, 89% of trade values have quantity information where unit value can be calculated.

** The denominator used based on the trade flows where unit value is available. In other words, it reflects only trade flows where quantities and trade values are available at the same time. Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade data.

For countries with lower frequency of trade⁹ (accounting for merely 2% of global trade), the removal of outliers reduces the number of observations to 52% for imports and 49% for exports. These figures, of course, vary depending on the trading country, product and reporting year. Mozambique is classified as least-frequent traders, therefore the share of trade values can be used to calculate unit value indices is also low. Taking Mozambique's rice imports as an example,

⁹ These countries trade significantly less than the frequently traded countries meaning that observations are not always available over two consecutive periods. Exacerbating this is the possibility that these countries may also have less reliable trade data (as indicated by the measures of asymmetry used in the OECD's database of balanced merchandise trade <u>https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=BIMTS_CPA</u>). In addition, this group of countries tend to have higher levels of inflation, although the dual approach (dollars and national currencies) to calculating unit value change mitigates the excessive removal of observations. Future research will look to investigate the scope of normalised outlier detection by explicitly introducing a broad inflation normalising factor.

as shown in Table 2.5, data reporting quality is good during 2012-2015, where all observations included quantity codes (kilogrammes) and quantity information (how many kilogrammes). But the quality of the reporting has dropped significantly since 2015 – generally below 3% of trade flows have quantity code and quantity. After three stages of outlier detection, the best trade coverage for calculating Mozambique rice import unit value indices is 21.5% in 2015 and the worst is 0% in 2019.

USD a	ind shares								
	Trade value	Trade value	After stage	After stage 2	After stage	Share with quantity	After stage	After stage	After stage

Table 2.5. Mozambigue rice imports, unit value indices and total trade value available in

	I rade value	I rade value	After stage	After stage	After stage	Share with	After	After	Atter
		with quantity	1	2	3	quantity	stage	stage	stage
		code available				available	1*	2*	3*
2012	94,475,810	94,475,810	82,958,850	-	-	100.0%	87.8%	0.0%	0.0%
2013	248,729,390	248,729,390			1,140,430	100.0%	9.5%	8.2%	0.5%
			23,751,970	20,475,310					
2014	187,361,270	187,361,270			14,996,700	100.0%	39.7%	8.8%	8.0%
			74,437,440	16,425,150					
2015	152,350,653	152,347,519			32,826,572	100.0%	40.0%	36.6%	21.5%
			60,922,853	55,742,141					
2016	139,436,774	1,066,403	148,936	125,458	121,540	0.8%	14.0%	11.8%	11.4%
2017	187,487,273	4,909,511	2,288,671	31,514	14,353	2.6%	46.6%	0.6%	0.3%
2018	221,176,583	2,597,346	72,238	51,310	4,163	1.2%	2.8%	2.0%	0.2%
2019	240,369,587	887,853	835,339	18,818	-	0.4%	94.1%	2.1%	0.0%
2020	250,594,119	3,191,133	261,143	66,093	12,375	1.3%	8.2%	2.1%	0.4%

Note: * The denominator used based on the trade flows where unit value is available. In other words, it reflects only trade flows where quantities and trade values are available at the same time. Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade data.

3. Results and validation

This section summarises unit value indices (UVIs) at multiple levels of aggregation, namely by HS 4-digit, HS 3-digit, HS 2-digit, CPA 2-digit, SITC 1-digit, ISIC rev. 4 and cross tabulate of ISIC rev. 4 and end-use category. All UVIs are estimated as the weighted average of changes at HS 6-digit products using both Laspeyres (previous year's trade value as weight) and Paasche (current year's trade value as weight) formulae. Both Paasche and Laspeyres price indices were calculated with AFM and MAD outlier detection methods. As expected, differences between the various approaches proved to be negligible. The remainder of this paper presents only the results for Laspeyres indices using the AFM approach.

First, the estimated UVIs are compared with:

- national accounts implicit price deflators, and with more detailed price statistics in selected countries where data are available (section 3.1); and
- World Bank key commodity prices indices (section 3.2).

Second, the rest of this section presents the UVIs that result from the screening approaches described in Section 2 and subsequently benchmarked to the implicit

price indices as published by national statistical offices (NSOs) (section 3.3 - 3.5).

3.1. Comparisons with implicit price indices from official statistics

Comparisons with aggregated national accounts deflators

The estimated UVIs, once calculated at the aggregate level, appear to compare well with the implicit price deflators from national accounts. For those countries where deflators can be derived for goods, 86% of all observations have less than a 10% difference, which means price ratios are within +/- 0.1-point difference. For countries with less frequent trade data or potentially having high inflation or less reliable implicit prices, the UVIs do not match well with what is reported in their national accounts. For example, it is the case for Iran and Mauritania. Overall, import UVIs are better matched to national accounts implicit price deflators than export UVIs, and OECD countries show better match than non-OECD countries (Figure 3.1).





Note: Statistics are obtained by pooling both import and export values. This applies to 38 OECD member countries.

Source: Implicit price indices are soured from OECD, UNSD, Eurostat, and NSOs. UVIs are estimated using UN Comtrade.

Germany, Australia and Canada have reported annual trade price indices by SITC 1-digit and they have used different methodologies for estimating trade prices and price changes.

- Germany's trade prices are collected via a monthly survey of 3 000 companies for a total of 1 214 commodities for imports at C.I.F. prices and 1 196 commodities for exports at f.o.b. prices. For certain commodities traded at international commodity exchanges, such as oil, grain, non-ferrous metals and precious metals, monthly average rates observed on the market are used. Trade price indices are calculated using Laspeyres, and without quality adjustments. Data was only available until 2017.
- Australia uses quarterly surveys for selected products and measures F.O.B. prices for both exports and imports. In addition, quality changes are accounted for.¹⁰ However, for items that are homogeneous and where quality change is minimal, e.g. basic commodities from the mining and agricultural sectors, it selectively uses average unit values as proxies for prices. Trade prices indices are annually reweighted chained Lowe price indices, which have the basket of goods fixed over a given interval of time.
- Canada only utilises customs data and follows a UVI approach to estimate import and export price indices with both Paasche and Laspeyres formulas. The current methodology has undergone a revision to potentially include a survey measure for products with quality changes. Data are only available until 2017 for SITC 1-digit aggregations.

Figure 3.2 presents the comparison between the estimated UVIs with the official statistics for Germany, Australia and Canada. Overall, the estimated UVIs are well aligned with the official statistics. However, there are a few exceptions, for example when comparing Canadian official price indices (essentially UVIs) statistics in SITC-3 Mineral fuels, lubricants and related materials with unit value indices in 2017.

¹⁰ For detailed discussion on how to treat for quality changes, see: www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Latestproducts/6429.0Main%20Features1020 14?opendocument&tabname=Summary&prodno=6429.0&issue=2014&num=&view.

Figure 3.2. UVI and price index at SITC 1-digit level





SDD/DOC(2022)1 | 19



- Note: SITC-0: Food and live animals
 - SITC-1: Beverages and tobacco
 - SITC-2: Crude materials, inedible, except fuels
 - SITC-3: Mineral fuels, lubricants and related materials
 - SITC-4: Animal and vegetable oils, fats and waxes
 - SITC-5: Chemicals and related products n.e.s.
 - SITC-6: Manufactured goods classified chiefly by material
 - SITC-7: Machinery and transport equipment
 - SITC-8: Miscellaneous manufactured articles
 - SITC-9: Commodities and transactions not classified elsewhere in the SITC
- Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade and data from national statistical offices.

3.2. Comparisons with global commodity prices

The World Bank Global Economic Monitor gathers commodity price data for a list of 81 commodities. While not entirely defined in the same manner, many of these commodities can be associated with one of the HS 4-digit UVIs (aggregated to a global level using trade values as weights). Figure 3.3 plots weighted UVIs against the reported price changes of related products in the World Bank dataset.

As for crude oil, World Bank has differentiated prices of Brent, Dubai and West Taxes Intermediates, but there is only one UVI series estimated using UN Comtrade. The results, while certainly not perfect, indicate that in particular for those products where the match between HS 4-digit category and the commodity classification is optimal, the differences between two series are often very small. The products with high price correlation (greater than 90%) are coffee, wheat, coconut oil, corns, phosphate rock, copper, silver and crude oil. Four examples are displayed below, and more comparison can be found in Annex D. A mapping between HS 4-digit products and the World Bank key commodities is presented in Annex E.



Figure 3.3. Commodity price indices and UVIs, selected examples

Note: When a HS 4-digit code matches to multiple items in World Bank commodity database, prices changes are showed separately in the chart, such as crude oil. About 50% of countries have submitted their 2019 and 2020 trade data to UN Comtrade at the time when data are processed. Therefore, the results for these two years should be treated as provisional.

Source: World Bank and author's calculation using UN Comtrade.

3.3. Derived UVIs

Table 3.1 presents descriptive statistics of UVIs, expressed as the growth rate of the underlying UV – the ratio of UV at t and UV at t-1 – to assess the stability of the data at various levels of disaggregation. The medians are consistent and stable for all datasets, between 1.01 and 1.02, and the means are higher, at 1.04. See Annex F for UVI statistics for the OECD countries.

Table 3.1. Statistics for the change in UV between t and t-1all countries, benchmarked to implicit price indices

	Obs.	Average	P25th	Median	P75th	St.dev.
HS-4 Digit	1,195,081	1.04	0.93	1.01	1.11	0.27
HS-3 Digit	216,716	1.04	0.95	1.02	1.09	0.18
HS-2 Digit	121,900	1.04	0.96	1.02	1.09	0.17
CPA 2 Digit	46,505	1.04	0.96	1.02	1.09	0.21
SITC 1 Digit	12,926	1.03	0.97	1.01	1.07	0.14
ISIC4	31,121	1.03	0.97	1.02	1.08	0.15
ISIC4 & End-use	75,924	1.04	0.96	1.02	1.09	0.21

A. Imports, using AFM method 2011-2020

B. Exports, using AFM method 2011-2020

	Obs.	Average	P25th	Median	P75th	St.dev.
HS-4 Digit	733,370	1.03	0.93	1.01	1.10	0.26
HS-3 Digit	165,080	1.04	0.94	1.01	1.10	0.25
HS-2 Digit	97,349	1.04	0.95	1.01	1.10	0.23
CPA 2 Digit	39,712	1.05	0.95	1.02	1.10	0.54
SITC 1 Digit	12,514	1.04	0.95	1.02	1.09	0.28
ISIC4	28,058	1.04	0.95	1.01	1.09	0.30
ISIC4 & End-use	62,257	1.04	0.94	1.02	1.11	0.29

Note: Observation count is the number of UVIs available by country, year and product category. Data are benchmarked with implicit price indices.

Source: Implicit price indices are soured from OECD, UNSD, Eurostat, and NSOs. UVIs are estimated using UN Comtrade.

One of the most volatile series during 2011-2020 is the UVIs for petroleum (see Figure 3.4). There was an over 20% increase in almost all countries in 2011. In contrast, 2015 saw a significant decrease globally and the price was only 50-70% of that in 2010. The price has recovered in 2017 and dropped again since 2018. Figure 3.4 shows that price changes are similar across selected countries.

Figure 3.4. UVI for petroleum (CPA 19, 2010=100)



Note: Data are benchmarked with implicit price indices. Source: Implicit price indices are soured from OECD, UNSD, Eurostat, and NSOs. UVIs are estimated using UN Comtrade.

3.4. UVI variations within HS 3-digit category

To examine cross-country variances, Figure 3.5 plots the benchmarked UVIs for selected years and category at the 3-digit level, and within each category the corresponding HS 4-digit products. The selected examples include metal tools (HS820) in 2016, fuels (HS270) in 2017, apparel and clothing (HS610) in 2018, vehicles and parts (HS870) in 2019 and sugar (HS170) in 2020.

Statistically, both price changes at HS 3-digit and HS 4-digit levels are estimated as the weighted average of price changes at HS 6-digit products. The black dots represent the UVIs at HS 3-digit level (sorting all countries from lowest to highest price change). The blue dots (for exports) and red dots (for imports) floating around the black dots show the UVIs for each 4-digit products that belong to an HS 3-digit category. It is evident that the variation of the UVIs at the HS 4-digit depends on the nature and heterogeneity of the products concerned – vehicles and parts (HS870) see the highest variation amongst the sub-products from 8701 to 8709, while little variations can be observed among different types of apparel and clothing (HS610).

Figure 3.5. UVI variations across countries: HS 3- and 4-digit levels



Note: Black dots represent UVIs at HS 3-digit level (sorting from the lowest to the highest UVI for all countries) and blue and red dots represent UVIs at 4-digit. Data are benchmarked with implicit price indices. About 50% of countries have submitted their 2019 and 2020 trade data to UN Comtrade at the time when data are processed. Therefore, the results for these two years should be treated as provisional.

Source: Implicit price indices are soured from OECD, UNSD, Eurostat, and NSOs. UVIs are estimated by the authors using UN Comtrade.

3.5. Import and export unit value indices are closely mirrored for most products

Export and import statistics represent two sides of the same trade transactions. Even if they are valued slightly differently (exports at FOB and imports at CIF), a strong correlation is expected between a product's export and import prices. Particularly as CIF-FOB margins are very stable over time (see Miao and Fortanier, 2017b) and therefore would not be expected to influence UVIs significantly. Figure 3.6 displays the distributions of both export (in blue) and import prices (in red), revealing strong overlaps. Visual analyses were preferred over statistical tests such as Chi-Square and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests to assess the difference between the two distributions, because the number of observations in each HS 4-digit product can be small, which meant that these tests were often showed contradictory/inconclusive results.

Figure 3.6. Overlap of UVI distributions between imports (red) and exports (blue)

A. All products for 2020



B. Selected individual products



Note: Data are benchmarked with implicit price indices. About 50% of countries have submitted their 2019 and 2020 trade data to UN Comtrade at the time when data are processed. Therefore, the results for these two years should be treated as provisional. Source: Implicit price indices are sourced from OECD, UNSD, Eurostat, and NSOs. UVIs are estimated by the authors using UN Comtrade.

The density plot for microscopes (HS 9012) shows that the range of UVIs is wider than crude oil (HS 2709) and passenger cars (HS 8703). Considering microscopes are more heterogeneous than crude oil but probably less so than passenger cars, it is normal that the range of UVIs for microscopes is wider than crude oil but why it is also wider than passenger cars? There are two plausible explanations. First, passenger cars are much more frequently traded than microscopes. Taking imports statistics as examples, there are over 240 000 entries of passenger cars in UN Comtrade from 2010 to 2020 but barely 26 000 entries of microscopes. High trading frequency helps to avoid small sample bias and leads to stable estimates. Second, passenger cars are better classified than microscopes, particularly because of their high trading frequency (for import duties and tariff measures). Under the HS heading 8703 *Motor cars and other motor vehicles principally designed for the transport of persons*, there are additional 9 HS 6-digit codes to differentiate type of passenger cars (base on size of cylinders), which are also separately reported from other type of vehicles:

- 8701 Tractors (other than tractors of heading 87.09).
- 8702 Motor vehicles for the transport of ten or more persons, including the driver.
- 8704 Motor vehicles for the transport of goods.
- 8709 Works trucks, self-propelled, not fitted with lifting or handling equipment, of the type used in factories, warehouses, dock areas or airports for short distance transport of goods; tractors of the type used on railway station platforms; parts of the fore.

Meanwhile, under the HS heading 9012 *Microscopes other than optical microscopes; diffraction apparatus*, there is no further refinement in product classification at HS 6-digit level. As a result, the large price variations (as shown in Figure 3.6B) may have lumped UVIs of different products together. If the nature of the products or the weights of the products change, UVIs of microscopes could fluctuate a lot.

4. New insights from detailed merchandise unit value indices

4.1. Major trends in international merchandise trade are different in real terms

Major trends in international merchandise trade in nominal values are different from those in real values. Figure 4.1 shows that, as widely recognised, global merchandise trade in nominal values declines sharply in 2015, but this is mainly caused by declining prices for oil and other commodities; global merchandise trade in real values, in fact, declines only marginally once adjusted for price changes (in USD). Likewise, the rising commodity prices in 2011 and 2018 underpin that the growth in merchandise trade are chiefly driven by changes in prices.

Likely interrupted by the COVID-19 crisis, global merchandise trade declined in both nominal and real values in 2020. Trade values in 2020 were 15% higher than those in 2010. Once adjusted for prices changes, trade volumes hit the historical low in the past decade, and only represented only 92% of trade in 2010.



Figure 4.1. Global merchandise imports (in USD), in nominal and real values, 2010-2020 (chained, 2010=100)

Note: Indicator is based on 98 countries for which chained price data are available for the entire period. UVIs at NCU are first transformed to UVIs in USD by applying $UVI_{USD,t} = UVI_{NCU,t} \frac{XR_{t-1}}{XR_t}$. These UVIs in USD are then used to deflate nominal trade values in USD at the CPA level for each country. These deflated trade values per country and CPI are then summed to obtain the global merchandise imports in real terms. Both the real and nominal global import values are then indexed to 2010. Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade.

The value of a granular dataset of UVIs also lies in its ability to analyse these trends in global merchandise trade at a more detailed product level. Figure 4.2, panel A shows the UVIs in 2015 and the share in global merchandise trade by product at CPA 2-digit level. The panel on the left-hand side illustrates the relative importance of the products, showing, for example, that computers and opticals (CPA26) are the most-traded products and accounting for 17% of global merchandise trade. The panel on the right-hand side reflects UVIs (in USD), illustrating sharp declines in unit value of almost all products and, notably, falls in unit values of metal ores (CPA07), crude petroleum and natural gas (CPA06), and refined petroleum (CPA19). In fact, these declining unit values across the board in 2015 are largely due to the appreciation of the US dollar. Figure 4.2, panel B shows the same two panels for the year 2020. While the left-hand panel shows a slight reordering in terms of product shares in global merchandise trade (which can be also driven by price effects), the right-hand panel shows that only energy related goods experience drops in unit values (with *crude oil and gas* being the largest), while others products actually have become more expensive likely due to the supply shock. This further illustrates that the declining trade volume in 2020 was not merely a price effect.

Figure 4.2. Product share in global merchandise imports and unit value indices in USD, by CPA

Panel A. 2015



SDD/DOC(2022)1 | 29

Panel B. 2020



Note: Indicator is based on 98 countries for which chained price data are available for the entire period. Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade.

4.2. UVIs of products for intermediate and final use

In developing various degrees of disaggregation for UVIs, a breakdown by industry (ISIC rev. 4) *and* end-use category (intermediate, consumption and capital goods) is included, in particular, to support discussions towards the developments of international supply and use and input-output tables in constant prices (see Miao and Fortanier, 2017a). A weak correlation between UVIs of product for intermediate and final use within the same industry points to the necessity of differentiating UVIs by end-use category.

The UVI data show an overall rather low correlation between intermediate and final use products (at 0.12). This is illustrated in Figure 4.4 with two examples for food, beverages and tobacco, and electrical equipment. Products of food, beverages and tobacco industry may be more homogeneous than those of the electrical equipment: the UVIs of processed coffee beans for final consumption is positively correlated with that of unprocessed/unroasted coffee beans for intermediate use (0.19); while the UVIs of electrical equipment for industrial and final use may well be different (with a barely positive correlation of 0.02).

Figure 4.3. UVI correlation between intermediate and final goods, 2011-2020



Electrical Equipment



Note: Trade flows are divided into economic activities based on the Revision 4 of ISIC and into nine end-use categories including capital goods, intermediate goods and household consumption, adopted same conversion table as in OECD Bilateral Trade Database by Industry and End-use (BTDIxE). Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade.

5. Conclusions

Any meaningful analysis of structural changes in international trade requires information on value and volume changes, and consequently detailed product-level information on unit value indices (UVIs). However, statistical information has remained scarce, mostly because of the conceptual challenges and demand on resources that gathering detailed statistics require. Customs data that include information on values and quantities are an important potential source, even if the UVIs that can be derived from these data are often considered as imperfect measures of price changes. A careful data cleaning process is also required, as errors, confidentiality and other factors listed in the introduction may generate significant noise.

This paper introduces a three-stage approach for outlier removal, in order to develop a robust dataset of UVIs. This approach excludes first unit values at the HS 6-digit level that significantly depart from the cross-country median within HS 4-digit groups; it subsequently removes unrealistic year-on-year unit value changes, and finally removes unit value changes that are significantly different from those of other countries trading the products in the same stratum. UVIs are developed by explicitly incorporating unit value changes across different quantity units within the same product group, without resorting to any artificial conversion factors. Furthermore, significant trade flows (i.e. more than 10% of total trade value in a given HS 4-digit-quantity code stratum) are checked manually and preserved if deemed correct. In addition, the cut-off boundaries for the second and third stages of outlier detection follow a dual-selection approach using both USD and national currencies, where data are considered as outliers only if it is outside the range in both. In the future, there is a possibility of including normalised outlier detection by introducing a broad inflation normalising factor.

The paper makes three distinctive contributions to this literature. First, it fully utilises trade data recorded in all quantity units, not just in kilogrammes. The quantity codes serve as a stratification, so that all possible records can be used for UVI estimation instead of abandoning them or imputing them with mirrored flows. Second, it highlights the importance of having a "suitable" stratification for outlier detection that depends on data availability. For example, if the data become available at the 8-digit or at 10-digit, the stratification could be fine-tuned accordingly. Finally the paper sheds light on development in global merchandise trade in the aftermath of the COVID-19 crisis. Global merchandise trade declined in both nominal and real values in 2020. Trade values in 2020 were 15% higher than those in 2010. Once adjusted for prices changes, trade volumes hit the historical low in the past decade, and represented only 92% of trade in 2010.

This paper has presented country-product specific UVIs using merchandise trade data and proved that the results are plausible, even though UVIs, by definition, cannot respond to some of the conceptual issues to measure price changes. The aggregated UVIs matched well with the overall implicit price indices as derived from countries' official national accounts, and indeed, proved to be good proxies. For the selected group of countries where data are available, the UVIs also closely resembled official price indices at the SITC 1-digit level. Comparison with global commodity price indices (for raw materials and agricultural products) has also pointed at a strong accord.

However, the UVIs calculated cover over 70% of trade for frequently traded countries, and much less for the least frequently traded countries, which directly points to the need for better reporting and better recording international merchandise trade statistics, i.e. through improved classification for products and providing tailored trainings for custom officers.

This analysis opens a canopy of possibilities for statistical and economical research in the future with merchandise trade data:

- The new products (such as 3D printers and drones) can be also used for calculating UVIs in the future if one relaxes the "fixed basket of goods" approach.
- One could expect that in general high-income countries would sell better-quality products at higher prices. If trade data are available at 8- or 10-digit level (providing a sufficient number of observations in each stratum), UVIs can be estimated by country, product and trading partner.
- Firm-level trade transactions, as opposed to country aggregates, have been used for the purpose of detecting illicit trade flows (for tax avoidance) exports or imports transactions at much higher or lower unit values can be detected using the same methods.
- The emphasis of this paper has been on deriving robust measures of UVIs, but the focus can be shift to the unit values, which point to some interesting questions for further investigation: why can some countries sell relatively homogeneous products within the same HS classification, such as coffee, at higher prices but others cannot?
- How does a price shock in one country propagates through the GVC to another country, potentially causing either an inflation in the final country where the product is consumed, or a reduction in producing country competitiveness?

References

- Ahmad, N., "A new look at trade in value-added and global value chains: Where are the retailers, and where are R&D", Design and Marketing, Forthcoming.
- Anitori, P. (2011), "Measurement errors in Italian external trade", paper presented at the Working Party on International Trade in Goods and Services Statistics, November 2011, Paris, STD/TBS/WPTGS(2011)3.
- Berthou, A. and E. Emlinger (2011), "The trade unit values database", *CEPII Working Papers*, No. 2011-10.
- Bradley, R. (2005), "Pitfalls of using unit values as a price measure or price index", *Journal of Economic* and Social Measurement, Vol. 30, pp. 39-61.
- Eurostat (2008), European Price Statistics: An Overview, Eurostat statistical book, http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/3217494/5700847/KS-70-07-038-EN.PDF/6b78bcc3-b219-4038-80d2-4180a9410678?version=1.0.
- Eurostat, IMF, OECD, UNSD and World Bank (2008), System of National Accounts.
- Feenstra, R.C. and J. Romalis (2014), "International prices and endogenous quality", *Quarterly Journal* of *Economics*, Vol. 129, No. 2, pp. 477-527.
- Gaulier, G. et al. (2008), "International trade price indices", CEPII Working Papers, No. 2008, Vol. 10.
- Hallak, J.C. and P.K. Schott (2011), "Estimating cross-country differences in product quality," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 126, No. 1, pp. 417-474.
- Hallak, J.C. (2006), "Product quality and the direction of trade," *Journal of International Economics*, No. 68, pp. 238-265.
- ILO, IMF, OECD, Eurostat, UNECE and World Bank (2009), *Export and Import Price Index Manual, Theory and Practice.*
- Khandelwal, A. (2010), "The long and short (of) quality ladders", *Review of Economics Studies*, Vol. 77, No. 4, pp. 1450-1476.
- Martin, J. and I. Méjean (2010), "Reallocation across firms and aggregate quality upgrading", CREST and Ecole Polytechnique.
- Mendez, M., K. Sarrazin and B. Wistrom (2014), "Unit value indexes Methodological assessment and proposals for improvement", *paper presented at the Working Party on International Trade in Goods* and Services Statistics, March 2014, Paris, STD/CSSP/WPTGS(2014)14.
- Miao, G. and F. Fortanier (2017a), "Nowcast trade in value added: Methodology", Paris, OECD, www.oecd.org/sdd/its/tiva-nowcast-methodology.pdf.
- Miao, G. and F. Fortanier (2017b), "Estimating transport and insurance costs of international trade", *OECD Statistics Working Paper*, 2017, No. 04; http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/8267bb0f-en.
- Sarrazin, K., B. Wistrom and F. Fortanier (2015), "Long series of merchandise trade statistics in HS2012", *Paper presented at OECD WPTGS*, March 2015, Paris, STD/CSSP/WPTGS(2015)28.
- Schott, P.K. (2008), "The relative sophistication of Chinese exports," Economic Policy, Vol. 23, No. 53, pp. 5-49.
- Schott, P.K. (2004), "Across-product versus within-product specialization in international trade", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 119, No. 2, pp. 646-677.

- Silver, M. (2010), "The wrongs and rights of unit value indices", *The Review of Income and Wealth*, Vol. 56, Special Issue 1, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4991.2010.00391.x.
- Silver, M. (2007), "Do unit value export, import, and terms of trade indices represent or misrepresent price indices?", *IMF Working Paper*, No. 121.
- Timmer, M. (1996), "On the reliability of unit value ratios in international comparisons: Research memorandum", University of Groningen.
- Wistrom, B. and K. Sarrazin (2012), "OECD progress report on calculation of UVIS", *paper presented at the Working Party on International Trade in Goods and Services Statistics*, Paris, STD/TBS/WPTGS(2012)2.
- Wistrom, B. and B. Serve (2011), "Implementing unit value indexes in the annual OECD International Trade in Commodity Statistics (ITCS) database", *paper presented at the Working Party on International Trade in Goods and Services Statistics*, Paris, STD/TBS/WPTGS(2011)6.
- UNSD (2010), "International merchandise trade statistics concepts and definitions", Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Statistics Division, United Nations, *Statistical Papers*, Series M, No. 52, ST/ESA/STAT/SER.M/52/Rev.3.
- UNSD (2005), "National practices in compilation and dissemination of external trade index numbers", Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Statistics Division, United Nations, *Statistical Papers*, Series F, No. 86, ST/ESA/STAT/SER.F/86.
- UNSD (1991), "Methods used in compiling the United Nations Price indices for external trade", Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Statistics Division, United Nations, *Statistical Papers*, Series M, No. 82, ST/ESA/STAT/SER.M/82.
- UNSD (1983), "Price and quantity measurement in external trade: two studies of national practice", Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Statistics Division, United Nations, *Statistical Papers*, Series M., No. 76, ST/ESA/STAT/SER.M/76.
- Von der Lippe, P. (2010), "The interpretation of unit value indices unit value as proxies for price index", *MPRA Paper*, No. 24743.
- Zetina, A. (2011), "Mexican export and import unit values indices", Methodological Note, Banco de Mexico, *paper presented at the Working Party on International Trade in Goods and Trade in Services Statistics*, Paris, STD/TBS/WPTGS(2011)4.

Annex A. Unit value distributions

Figure A.1. Distribution of log unit values split by quantity and year for selected products in selected years















Figure A.2. Distribution of log unit values split by year for selected products



Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade.

Annex B. Frequently trading countries

Table B.1. Frequently trading countries and the share of observations remaining after each outlier detection phase, Imports, 2010-2020

Country	Average # of	Average share of observations for which UV are available						
	observations	Total*	After outlier detection phase 1**	After outlier detection phase 2**	After outlier detection phase 3**			
ARE	807,566	86%	88%	69%	65%			
AUS	695,497	94%	89%	81%	67%			
AUT	905,774	85%	92%	85%	82%			
BEL	853,503	91%	87%	80%	71%			
BGR	493,547	91%	89%	82%	74%			
BRA	586,748	96%	83%	77%	73%			
CAN	1,087,059	74%	91%	84%	79%			
CHE	1,003,081	97%	81%	73%	70%			
CHL	591,049	99%	81%	71%	64%			
CHN	911,069	93%	86%	77%	75%			
CZE	829,216	93%	89%	83%	77%			
DEU	1,265,841	83%	90%	83%	77%			
DNK	767,578	91%	90%	84%	74%			
ESP	972,030	93%	87%	80%	71%			
EST	516,501	86%	88%	80%	73%			
FIN	639,027	87%	87%	79%	73%			
FRA	1,227,735	90%	90%	85%	78%			
GBR	978,980	91%	88%	82%	76%			
GRC	522,550	95%	89%	80%	73%			
HKG	489,115	92%	82%	75%	73%			
HRV	542,262	91%	89%	76%	64%			
HUN	594,694	83%	89%	82%	76%			
IDN	599,391	86%	91%	83%	74%			
IND	768,459	96%	84%	78%	73%			
IRL	520,519	87%	82%	76%	70%			
ISR	494,805	65%	93%	79%	69%			
ITA	991,402	94%	90%	86%	81%			
JPN	584,951	89%	89%	85%	81%			
KOR	790,965	80%	91%	85%	83%			
LTU	530,122	93%	90%	84%	74%			
MEX	585,095	52%	86%	73%	68%			
MYS	587,592	99%	86%	77%	67%			
NLD	1,323,078	88%	90%	80%	71%			
NOR	773,309	98%	85%	80%	74%			
NZL	616,230	92%	90%	83%	74%			

POL	855,485	92%	90%	86%	81%
PRT	535,596	93%	94%	87%	80%
ROM	700,768	90%	89%	84%	77%
RUS	816,551	97%	80%	74%	70%
SGP	817,175	87%	87%	72%	67%
SRB	560,432	81%	92%	87%	83%
SVK	594,124	92%	87%	81%	73%
SVN	626,607	95%	91%	86%	80%
SWE	728,775	90%	91%	87%	82%
THA	805,788	92%	87%	80%	75%
TUR	707,413	86%	91%	85%	80%
UKR	567,393	94%	85%	72%	68%
USA	1,101,742	89%	84%	77%	73%
VNM	500,380	68%	96%	81%	77%
ZAF	871,972	92%	84%	73%	67%

Note: Percentages are taken with respect to the number of observations available that have a reported quantity unit and amount.

* The denominator used in calculating shares is the total trade flow. For example, 89% of trade values have quantity information where unit value can be calculated.

** The denominator used based on the trade flows where unit value is available. In other words, it reflects only trade flows where quantities and trade values are available at the same time.

Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade.

Annex C. Comtrade quantity codes and their frequency in trade

Table C.1. Comtrade quantity unit codes and their frequency before and after removal of outliers (all three stages), 2011-2020

Quantity	Description	Expo	rt Frequency	Import Frequency		
Code		Original	After outlier detection	Original	After outlier detection	
1	No quantity	11,055,758	0	11,053,361	0	
2	Area in square meters	753,689	175,327	753,517	175,261	
3	Electrical energy in thousands of kilowatt-hours	4,262	1,505	4,262	1,504	
4	Length in meters	25,895	3,141	25,893	3,144	
5	Number of items	20,320,374	5,163,615	20,314,335	5,161,735	
6	Number of pairs	866,058	216,437	865,857	216,360	
7	Volume in litres	855,248	238,863	855,126	238,836	
8	Weight in kilograms	70,264,546	20,305,264	70,250,295	20,300,649	
9	Thousand of items	8,873	1,795	8,872	1,796	
10	Number of packages	17,613	5,382	17,613	5,382	
11	Dozen of items	4,537	845	4,537	846	
12	Volume in cubic meters	306,915	76,893	306,807	76,892	
13	Weight in carats	42,699	7,099	42,694	7,103	

Note: Frequencies are calculated based on countries reporting at 6-digit product level, by partner, year, and quantity code; Trades without quantity (No quantity) are never kept since no unit values can be calculated. Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade.

Annex D. Global commodity prices and UVIs

Figure D.1. Commodity price index and UVI, selected examples



SDD/DOC(2022)1 | 43



Note: When a HS 4-digit matches to multiple items in World Bank commodity database, prices changes are showed separately in the chart, as in wood sawn.

Source: World Bank and author's calculation using UN Comtrade.

Annex E. World commodity correspondence to HS-4 digit product

Commodity	HS-4 digit	HS Description
Aluminium	2510	Natural calcium phosphates, natural aluminium calcium phosphates and phosphatic chalk
Banana, Europe	0803	Bananas, including plantains, fresh or dried
Banana, US	0803	Bananas, including plantains, fresh or dried
Barley	1003	Barley
Coal, Australian	2701	Coal; briquettes, ovoids and similar solid fuels manufactured from coal
Coal, South African	2701	Coal; briquettes, ovoids and similar solid fuels manufactured from coal
Сосоа	1801	Cocoa beans, whole or broken, raw or roasted
Coconut oil	1513	Coconut (copra), palm kernel or babassu oil and fractions thereof, whether or not refined, but not chemically modified
Coffee, Arabica	0901	Coffee, whether or not roasted or decaffeinated; coffee husks and skins; coffee substitutes containing coffee in any proportion
Coffee, Robusta	0901	Coffee, whether or not roasted or decaffeinated; coffee husks and skins; coffee substitutes containing coffee in any proportion
Copper	2603	Copper ores and concentrates
Cotton, A Index	5201	Cotton not carded or combed
Crude oil, average	2709	Petroleum oils and oils obtained from bituminous minerals, crude
Crude oil, Brent	2709	Petroleum oils and oils obtained from bituminous minerals, crude
Crude oil, Dubai	2709	Petroleum oils and oils obtained from bituminous minerals, crude
Crude oil, WTI	2709	Petroleum oils and oils obtained from bituminous minerals, crude
DAP	2510	Natural calcium phosphates, natural aluminium calcium phosphates and phosphatic chalk
Gold	7108	Gold (including gold plated with platinum) unwrought or in semi-manufactured forms, or in powder form
Groundnut oil	1508	Ground-nut oil and its fractions, whether or not refined, but not chemically modified
Iron ore, cfr spot	2601	Iron ores and concentrates, including roasted iron pyrites
Lead	2607	Lead ores and concentrates
Logs, Cameroon	4401	Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms; wood in chips or particles; sawdust and wood waste and scrap, whether or not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms
Logs, Malaysian	4401	Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms; wood in chips or particles; sawdust and wood waste and scrap, whether or not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms
Maize	1005	Maize (corn)
Meat, chicken	0207	Meat and edible offal, of the poultry of heading 01.05, fresh, chilled or frozen
Natural gas, Europe	2705	Coal gas, water gas, producer gas and similar gases, other than petroleum gases and other gaseous hydrocarbons
Natural gas, US	2705	Coal gas, water gas, producer gas and similar gases, other than petroleum gases and other gaseous hydrocarbons
Nickel	2604	Nickel ores and concentrates
Orange	2824	Lead oxides; red lead and orange lead
Palm oil	1511	Palm oil and its fractions, whether or not refined, but not chemically modified

Table E.1. Matching between World Bank's reported commodities and HS-4 digit products

Phosphate rock	2510	Natural calcium phosphates, natural aluminium calcium phosphates and phosphatic chalk
Platinum	7110	Platinum, unwrought or in semi-manufactured forms, or in powder form
Plywood	4412	Plywood, veneered panels and similar laminated wood
Rice, Thai 25%	1006	Rice
Rice, Thai 5%	1006	Rice
Rice, Thai A.1	1006	Rice
Rice, Vietnamese 5%	1006	Rice
Rubber, SGP/MYS	4001	Natural rubber, balata, gutta-percha, guayule, chicle and similar natural gums, in primary forms or in plates, sheets or strip
Rubber, TSR20	4001	Natural rubber, balata, gutta-percha, guayule, chicle and similar natural gums, in primary forms or in plates, sheets or strip
Sawnwood, Cameroon	4407	Wood sawn or chipped lengthwise, sliced or peeled, whether or not planed, sanded or end-jointed, of a thickness exceeding 6 mm
Sawnwood, Malaysian	4407	Wood sawn or chipped lengthwise, sliced or peeled, whether or not planed, sanded or end-jointed, of a thickness exceeding 6 mm
Silver	7106	Silver (including silver plated with gold or platinum), unwrought or in semi-manufactured forms, or in powder form
Sorghum	1007	Grain sorghum
Soybean meal	1201	Soya beans, whether or not broken
Soybean oil	1507	Soya-bean oil and its fractions, whether or not refined, but not chemically modified
Soybeans	1201	Soya beans, whether or not broken
Sugar, EU	1701	Cane or beet sugar and chemically pure sucrose, in solid form
Sugar, US	1701	Cane or beet sugar and chemically pure sucrose, in solid form
Sugar, world	1701	Cane or beet sugar and chemically pure sucrose, in solid form
Tea, avg 3 auctions	0902	Tea, whether or not flavoured
Tea, Colombo	0902	Tea, whether or not flavoured
Tea, Kolkata	0902	Tea, whether or not flavoured
Tea, Mombasa	0902	Tea, whether or not flavoured
Tin	2609	Tin ores and concentrates
Tobacco, US import u.v.	2401	Unmanufactured tobacco; tobacco refuse
TSP	2510	Natural calcium phosphates, natural aluminium calcium phosphates and phosphatic chalk
Urea	2847	Hydrogen peroxide, whether or not solidified with urea
Wheat, US HRW	1001	Wheat and meslin
Wheat, US SRW	1001	Wheat and meslin
Zinc	2608	Zinc ores and concentrates

Note: The matching represents the closest possible matching found by the authors. Source: World bank commodity list and UN Comtrade product classification.

Annex F. Unit Value Index summary statistics for OECD countries

	4	4						
Country	Obs.	Average	Minimum	P25th	Median	P75th	Maximum	St. dev.
AUS	324	1.08	0.41	0.99	1.05	1.12	4.25	0.29
AUT	372	1.03	0.51	0.99	1.02	1.06	1.90	0.14
BEL	383	1.03	0.36	0.98	1.02	1.07	3.15	0.17
CAN	336	1.05	0.35	0.98	1.03	1.09	2.50	0.18
CHE	370	1.01	0.28	0.96	1.01	1.04	2.23	0.16
CHL	328	1.05	0.30	0.99	1.05	1.11	3.69	0.20
COL	305	1.07	0.47	0.99	1.05	1.13	1.80	0.15
CRI	275	1.02	0.32	0.96	1.02	1.09	2.06	0.16
CZE	378	1.03	0.45	0.98	1.03	1.07	1.89	0.14
DEU	394	1.02	0.33	0.98	1.01	1.05	2.21	0.14
DNK	360	1.02	0.31	0.98	1.02	1.06	1.93	0.15
ESP	392	1.03	0.65	0.98	1.02	1.06	3.75	0.20
EST	340	1.02	0.29	0.97	1.02	1.06	2.50	0.17
FIN	338	1.04	0.40	0.98	1.02	1.07	2.43	0.20
FRA	385	1.03	0.42	0.98	1.02	1.06	2.26	0.17
GBR	358	1.13	0.58	0.99	1.04	1.09	30.66	1.57
GRC	373	1.03	0.41	0.97	1.01	1.06	2.24	0.17
HUN	365	1.03	0.27	0.99	1.03	1.07	1.55	0.12
IRL	342	1.03	0.32	0.97	1.01	1.06	2.30	0.20
ISL	280	1.06	0.21	0.93	1.02	1.13	3.93	0.32
ISR	295	1.04	0.37	0.94	1.01	1.12	2.26	0.20
ITA	384	1.03	0.62	0.99	1.02	1.06	2.38	0.15
JPN	330	1.04	0.51	0.98	1.03	1.09	2.16	0.16
KOR	321	1.02	0.26	0.96	1.01	1.06	3.46	0.23
LTU	355	1.04	0.58	0.99	1.02	1.07	2.14	0.14
LUX	315	1.01	0.28	0.97	1.01	1.05	2.33	0.17
LVA	341	1.02	0.29	0.97	1.01	1.05	2.30	0.16
MEX	310	1.07	0.36	0.99	1.05	1.13	2.51	0.20
NLD	375	1.02	0.24	0.98	1.02	1.07	1.99	0.15
NOR	351	1.04	0.34	0.98	1.04	1.08	1.85	0.15
NZL	298	1.03	0.35	0.96	1.02	1.08	1.65	0.15
POL	380	1.03	0.31	0.99	1.02	1.06	2.25	0.15
PRT	368	1.03	0.42	0.98	1.01	1.06	2.60	0.17
SVK	360	1.01	0.23	0.97	1.01	1.05	3.28	0.20
SVN	362	1.03	0.41	0.98	1.02	1.05	3.43	0.23
SWE	354	1.03	0.30	0.98	1.03	1.07	2.64	0.16
TUR	327	1.15	0.29	1.07	1.13	1.23	2.16	0.20
USA	320	1.33	0.52	0.99	1.02	1.06	93.73	5.18

Table F.1. Export UVI	summary statistic	s on CPA	level for OECD	countries.	2011-2020
TUDIO I TIL EXPORTOTI	ourning otationo			oo untinoo,	LOII LOLO

Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade.

SDD/DOC(2022)1 | 47

Country	Obs.	Average	Minimum	P25th	Median	P75th	Maximum	St. dev
AUS	333	1.06	0.40	1.00	1.05	1.11	1.96	0.16
AUT	386	1.03	0.40	0.98	1.02	1.06	1.77	0.1
BEL	394	1.03	0.49	0.98	1.02	1.07	2.01	0.14
CAN	353	1.05	0.47	1.00	1.04	1.09	1.73	0.1
CHE	387	1.01	0.30	0.96	1.01	1.05	2.29	0.1
CHL	374	1.07	0.43	0.99	1.05	1.13	4.01	0.2
COL	176	1.08	0.29	1.00	1.06	1.14	2.22	0.2
CRI	317	1.03	0.46	0.97	1.03	1.08	2.26	0.1
CZE	392	1.02	0.33	0.98	1.02	1.07	1.87	0.1
DEU	386	1.03	0.64	0.99	1.02	1.06	2.14	0.1
DNK	374	1.03	0.22	0.98	1.02	1.06	2.62	0.1
ESP	397	1.03	0.31	0.98	1.02	1.07	2.48	0.1
EST	375	1.03	0.33	0.98	1.02	1.06	2.41	0.1
FIN	371	1.04	0.45	0.98	1.02	1.07	2.31	0.1
FRA	392	1.03	0.01	0.97	1.02	1.07	2.69	0.1
GBR	350	1.04	0.32	0.98	1.03	1.08	2.57	0.1
GRC	390	1.02	0.49	0.97	1.01	1.06	2.44	0.1
HUN	385	1.05	0.40	0.99	1.04	1.08	3.42	0.2
IRL	384	1.03	0.26	0.98	1.02	1.06	1.91	0.1
ISL	362	1.02	0.42	0.94	1.02	1.10	2.10	0.1
ISR	347	1.02	0.41	0.94	1.00	1.08	2.40	0.1
ITA	386	1.02	0.60	0.98	1.02	1.06	2.00	0.1
JPN	331	1.05	0.58	0.97	1.03	1.11	2.37	0.1
KOR	343	1.02	0.47	0.95	1.01	1.06	2.10	0.1
LTU	378	1.03	0.45	0.98	1.01	1.06	2.21	0.1
LUX	378	1.03	0.33	0.99	1.02	1.05	2.65	0.2
LVA	375	1.04	0.29	0.98	1.02	1.07	3.46	0.2
MEX	294	1.06	0.34	0.99	1.05	1.12	1.81	0.1
NLD	388	1.03	0.59	0.98	1.02	1.06	3.88	0.2
NOR	379	1.06	0.41	1.01	1.05	1.09	1.96	0.1
NZL	330	1.05	0.65	0.98	1.03	1.09	2.58	0.1
POL	380	1.03	0.58	0.98	1.03	1.07	1.70	0.1
PRT	390	1.03	0.28	0.98	1.02	1.06	2.25	0.1
SVK	378	1.02	0.37	0.98	1.02	1.06	2.02	0.1
SVN	379	1.02	0.40	0.98	1.02	1.05	2.75	0.1
SWE	380	1.04	0.24	0.99	1.03	1.07	4.00	0.2
TUR	342	1.16	0.49	1.06	1.14	1.24	2.58	0.2
USA	324	1.03	0.51	0.98	1.01	1.06	2.89	0.1

Table F.2. Import UVI summary statistics on CPA level for OECD countries, 2011-2020

Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade.

Annex G. Parameter simulation

Figure G.1. Parameter simulation with respect to choice of K and c in AFM outlier detection method, Rice imports (HS 1006)



A. OECD countries

Source: Authors' calculation using UN Comtrade.