Austria

Austria has met all aspects of the terms of reference (OECD, 2017_[3]) (ToR) for the calendar year 2018 (year in review) and no recommendations are made.

In the prior year report, Austria had received one recommendation for the timely exchange of information on past and future rulings (ToR II.5.6). This recommendation has been addressed and is removed.

Austria can legally issue one type of ruling within the scope of the transparency framework. In practice, Austria issued rulings within the scope of the transparency framework as follows:

- 59 past rulings;
- For the period 1 April 2016 31 December 2016: 13 future rulings;
- For the calendar year 2017: 10 future rulings, and
- For the year in review: nine future rulings.

Peer input was received from one jurisdiction in respect of the exchanges of information on rulings received from Austria. The input was positive, although it indicated one error where information was missing. Austria quickly resolved the issue and provided the completed information.

Introduction

This peer review covers Austria's implementation of the BEPS Action 5 transparency framework for the year 2018. The report has four parts, each relating to a key part of the ToR. Each part is discussed in turn. A summary of recommendations is included at the end of this report.

A. The information gathering process

Austria can legally issue one type of ruling within the scope of the transparency framework: cross-border unilateral advance pricing arrangements (APAs) and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering transfer pricing or the application of transfer pricing principles

Past rulings (ToR I.4.1.1, I.4.1.2, I.4.2.1, I.4.2.2)

For Austria, past rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued either: (i) on or after 1 January 2014 but before 1 April 2016; or (ii) on or after 1 January 2010 but before 1 January 2014, provided they were still in effect as at 1 January 2014.

In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that Austria's undertakings to identify past rulings and all potential exchange jurisdictions were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Austria's implementation in this regard remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

Future rulings (ToR I.4.1.1, I.4.1.2, I.4.2.1)

For Austria, future rulings are any tax rulings within scope that are issued on or after 1 April 2016.

In the prior year peer review report, it was determined that Austria's undertakings to identify future rulings and all potential exchange jurisdictions were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Austria's implementation in this regard remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

Review and supervision (ToR I.4.3)

In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that Austria's review and supervision mechanism was sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Austria's implementation in this regard remains unchanged, and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

Conclusion on section A

Austria has met all of the ToR for the information gathering process and no recommendations are made.

B. The exchange of information

Legal basis for spontaneous exchange of information (ToR II.5.1, II.5.2)

Austria has the necessary domestic legal basis to exchange information spontaneously. Austria notes that there are no legal or practical impediments that prevent the spontaneous exchange of information on rulings as contemplated in the Action 5 minimum standard.

Austria has international agreements permitting spontaneous exchange of information, including being a party to the (i) *Multilateral Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters: Amended by the 2010 Protocol* (OECD/Council of Europe, 2011_[4]) ("the Convention"), (ii) the Directive 2011/16/EU with

all other European Union Member States, (iii) double tax agreements in force with 70 jurisdictions and (iv) tax information exchange agreements with seven jurisdictions.¹

Completion and exchange of templates (ToR II.5.3, II.5.4, II.5.5, II.5.6, II.5.7)

In the prior years' peer review reports, it was determined that Austria's process for the completion and exchange of templates were sufficient to meet the minimum standard. Austria's implementation in this regard remains unchanged and therefore continues to meet the minimum standard.

Past rulings in the scope of the transparency framework	Number of exchanges transmitted by 31 December 2018	Delayed exchanges		
		Number of exchanges not transmitted by 31 December 2018	Reasons for the delays	Any other comments
	0	0	N/A	N/A
Future rulings in	Number of exchanges	Delayed exchanges		
the scope of the transparency framework	transmitted within three months of the information becoming available to the competent authority or immediately after legal impediments have been lifted	Number of exchanges transmitted later than three months of the information on rulings becoming available to the competent authority	Reasons for the delays	Any other comments
	66	0	N/A	N/A
Total	102	0		

For the year in review, the timeliness of exchanges is as follows:

Follow up requests received for exchange of	Number	Average time to provide response	Number of requests not answered
the ruling	1	60 days	0

The prior year peer review report determined that Austria had experienced some delays in exchanging information on past and future rulings. These issues have now been resolved and all outstanding information has been exchanged, and no further delays have been encountered. As such the prior year recommendation has been removed.

Conclusion on section B

Austria has the necessary legal basis for spontaneous exchange of information, a process for completing the templates in a timely way and has completed all exchanges. Austria has met all of the ToR for the exchange of information process and no recommendations are made.

C. Statistics (ToR IV)

The statistics for the year in review are as follows:

Category of ruling	Number of exchanges	Jurisdictions exchanged with	
Ruling related to a preferential regime	N/A	N/A	
Cross-border unilateral advance pricing agreements (APAs) and any other cross-border unilateral tax rulings (such as an advance tax ruling) covering transfer pricing or the application of	102	Australia, Brazil, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Korea, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Russia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom,	

50 |

transfer pricing principles		United States
Cross-border rulings providing for a unilateral downward adjustment to the taxpayer's taxable profits that is not directly reflected in the taxpayer's financial / commercial accounts	N/A	N/A
Permanent establishment rulings	N/A	N/A
Related party conduit rulings	N/A	N/A
De minimis rule	N/A	N/A
IP regimes: total exchanges on taxpayers benefitting from the third category of IP assets, new entrants benefitting from grandfathered IP regimes; and taxpayers making use of the option to treat the nexus ratio as a rebuttable presumption	N/A	N/A
Total	102	

D. Matters related to intellectual property regimes (ToR I.4.1.3)

Austria does not offer an intellectual property regime for which transparency requirements under the Action 5 Report (OECD, 2015_[5]) were imposed.

Summary of recommendations on implementation of the transparency framework

Aspect of implementation of the transparency framework that should be improved	Recommendation for improvement
	No recommendations are made.

Notes

¹ Parties to the Convention are available here: <u>www.oecd.org/tax/exchange-of-tax-information/convention-on-mutual-administrative-assistance-in-tax-matters.htm</u>. Austria also has bilateral agreements in force with Algeria, Armenia, Bahamas, Bahrain, Belarus, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Dominican Republic, Egypt, El Salvador, Gabon, Guatemala, Hong Kong (China), Jamaica, Kenya, Kuwait, Montenegro, North Macedonia, Morocco, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Serbia, Chinese Taipei, Tajikistan, Thailand, Turkey, Turkmenistan, United Arab Emirates, United States, Venezuela and Viet Nam.



From: Harmful Tax Practices – 2018 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings

Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/7cc5b1a2-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2020), "Austria", in *Harmful Tax Practices – 2018 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/26240415-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <u>http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions</u>.

