Foreword

The integration of national economies and markets has increased substantially in recent years, putting a strain on the international tax rules, which were designed more than a century ago. Weaknesses in the current rules create opportunities for base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS), requiring bold moves by policy makers to restore confidence in the system and ensure that profits are taxed where economic activities take place and value is created.

Following the release of the report Addressing Base Erosion and Profit Shifting in February 2013, OECD and G20 countries adopted a 15-point Action Plan to address BEPS in September 2013. The Action Plan identified 15 actions along three key pillars: introducing coherence in the domestic rules that affect cross-border activities, reinforcing substance requirements in the existing international standards, and improving transparency as well as certainty.

After two years of work, measures in response to the 15 actions were delivered to G20 Leaders in Antalya in November 2015. All the different outputs, including those delivered in an interim form in 2014, were consolidated into a comprehensive package. The BEPS package of measures represents the first substantial renovation of the international tax rules in almost a century. Once the new measures become applicable, it is expected that profits will be reported where the economic activities that generate them are carried out and where value is created. BEPS planning strategies that rely on outdated rules or on poorly co-ordinated domestic measures will be rendered ineffective.

Implementation is now the focus of this work. The BEPS package is designed to be implemented via changes in domestic law and practices, and in tax treaties. With the negotiation of a multilateral instrument (MLI) having been finalised in 2016 to facilitate the implementation of the treaty related BEPS measures, 100 jurisdictions are covered by the MLI. The entry into force of the MLI on 1 July 2018 paves the way for swift implementation of the treaty related measures. OECD and G20 countries also agreed to continue to work together to ensure a consistent and co-ordinated implementation of the BEPS recommendations and to make the project more inclusive. Globalisation requires that global solutions and a global dialogue be established which go beyond OECD and G20 countries.

A better understanding of how the BEPS recommendations are implemented in practice could reduce misunderstandings and disputes between governments. Greater focus on implementation and tax administration should therefore be mutually beneficial to governments and business. Proposed improvements to data and analysis will help support ongoing evaluation of the quantitative impact of BEPS, as well as evaluating the impact of the countermeasures developed under the BEPS Project.

As a result, the OECD established the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework on BEPS (Inclusive Framework), bringing all interested and committed countries and jurisdictions on an equal footing in the Committee on Fiscal Affairs and all its subsidiary bodies. The Inclusive Framework, which already has more than 140 members, is monitoring and peer reviewing the implementation of the minimum standards as well as completing the work on standard setting to address BEPS issues. In addition to BEPS members, other international organisations and regional tax bodies are involved in the work of the Inclusive Framework, which also consults business and the civil society on its different work streams.

This report was approved by the Inclusive Framework on 1 December 2022 and prepared for publication by the OECD Secretariat.

Table of contents

Foreword	3
Abbreviations and acronyms	7
Executive summary References	8 18
1 Introduction Albania Andorra Angola Antigua and Barbuda Argentina Armenia Aruba Australia Austria Barbados Belgium Benin Bosnia and Herzegovina Botswana Brazil Brunei Darussalam Burkina Faso Cabo Verde Canada Chile China (People's Republic of) Colombia Congo Costa Rica Croatia Curaçao	19 23 27 32 37 41 45 49 53 57 61 66 70 74 79 83 87 91 96 100 104 108 112 116 120 124
Czech Republic Democratic Republic of the Congo	133 137
Denmark Dominican Republic Egypt	141 145 149

Estonia	154
Eswatini	158
Faroe Islands	162
Finland	166
France	170
Gabon	175
Georgia	179
Germany	183
Gibraltar	187
Greece	191
Grenada	195
Guernsey	199
Hong Kong (China)	203
Hungary	207
Iceland	212
India	216
Indonesia	220
Ireland	224
Isle of Man	228
Israel	232
Italy	237
Jamaica	242
Japan	246
Jersey	250
Jordan	254
Kazakhstan	259
Kenya	263
Korea	267
Latvia	271
Liberia	275
Liechtenstein	279
Lithuania	283
Luxembourg	287
Malaysia	291
Malta	296
Mauritius	300
Mexico	304
Morocco	308
Namibia	312
Netherlands	316
New Zealand	321
Nigeria	325
Norway	329
Pakistan	333
Peru	337
Philippines	341
Poland	346
Portugal	350
Qatar	354
Romania	358
Saint Kitts and Nevis	362

Cainthusia	000
Saint Lucia	366
Samoa	370
San Marino	375
Senegal	379
Seychelles	383
Singapore	387
Sint Maarten	392
Slovak Republic	396
Slovenia	400
South Africa	404
Spain	408
Sri Lanka	413
Sweden	418
Switzerland	422
Thailand	428
Türkiye	433
Ukraine	437
United Kingdom	441
United States	445
Uruguay	449
Viet Nam	454

TABLES

Table 1. Compilation of recommendations

Follow OECD Publications on:

https://twitter.com/OECD

https://www.facebook.com/theOECD

https://www.linkedin.com/company/organisation-eco-cooperation-development-organisation-cooperation-development-eco/
https://www.youtube.com/user/OECDiLibrary

https://www.oecd.org/newsletters/

10



From:

Harmful Tax Practices – 2021 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5

Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/4034ce42-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2023), "Foreword", in *Harmful Tax Practices – 2021 Peer Review Reports on the Exchange of Information on Tax Rulings: Inclusive Framework on BEPS: Action 5*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/103e148a-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions.

